

# CAUCHY CONDITION FOR BARENBLATT-ZHELTOV-KOCHINA EQUATIONS IN QUASI-BANACH SPACES

Jawad Kadhim Khalaf Al-Delfi

(Mustansiriyah University)

Поступила в редакцию 31.10.2020 г.

**Abstract:** In this article, a Barenblatt-ZheltoV-Kochina model as an example on linear Sobolev type equations, is introduced with a Cauchy condition in quasi-Banach spaces. In this model, we are used quasi-Laplace operators which are defined on quasi-Sobolev spaces.

**Key words and phrases:** Quasi-Banach spaces, Quasi-Sobolev spaces, Laplace' Quasi-operator, Cauchy condition.

## Условие Коши для Уравнений Баренблатта-Желтова-Кочиной в Квазибанаховых Пространствах Джавад Кадим Кхалаф Аль-Делфи

**Аннотация:** В этой статье, модель Баренблатта-Желтова-Кочиной в качестве примера линейных уравнений соболевского типа вводится с условием Коши в квазибанаховых пространствах. В этой модели используются квазилапласовы операторы, определенные на квазисоболевских пространствах.

**Ключевые слова:** квазибанаховы пространства, квазисоболевы пространства, квазиоператор Лапласа, условием Коши.

### INTRODUCTION

*Quasi-normed space*  $(\mathfrak{U}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a vector space  $\mathfrak{U}$  over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  (a set of real or complex numbers) with *quasi-norm*  $\|\cdot\|$ , which differs from the norm only by « inequality » :  $\forall u, v \in \mathfrak{U} \quad \mathfrak{U}\|u + v\| \leq C(\mathfrak{U}\|u\| + \mathfrak{U}\|v\|)$ , where a constant  $C \geq 1$ . If  $C = 1$ , then the quasi-norm becomes a norm, and the quasi-normed space turns into a normed space. Generally, a quasi-normed space is not normed space but metrizable [1], Lemma 3.10.1, then it is topological linear spaces and the concepts of fundamental sequence and completeness are correct. A complete quasi-normed space  $\mathfrak{U}$  is called quasi-Banach space [1,2].

A monotonically increasing sequence  $\{\lambda_k\} \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = +\infty$  was used to construct quasi-Sobolev spaces  $l_q^m$ :

$l_q^m = \left\{ u = \{u_k\} : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_k^{\frac{m}{2}} |u_k| \right)^q < +\infty \right\}$ .  $q \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{R}$ , and then to define quasi-Laplace operator  $\Lambda u = \lambda_k u_k$  on these spaces with its inverse  $\Lambda^{-1}u = \{\lambda_k^{-1} u_k\}$  - *quasi Green's operator*. We were proved  $l_q^m$  as a quasi-Banach space, and  $\Lambda$ -toplinear isomorphism operator [3,4,5].

A Barenblatt-Zhel'tov-Kochina equation is the most famous non-classical equation simulating the processes of filtration, thermal conductivity and moisture, which was studied in a concept of Banach space and has received much attention in a lot of the references [6,7,8].

In this article, we introduce non homogeneous linear Sobolev type equation with Cauchy condition in quasi-Banach spaces, and take model Barenblatt-Zhel'tov-Kochina as an example on it.

### 1. Relatively $p$ -Bounded Operators

Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  be quasi-Banach spaces. A linear operator  $L : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  is called continuous if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Lu_k = L\left(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k\right)$  for any sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset \mathfrak{U}$ , converging in  $\mathfrak{U}$ ; and is called bounded if it maps bounded sets to bounded sets.

A continuous linear operator  $L$  is called toplinear isomorphism if there exists an inverse operator  $L^{-1} : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ , which is also continuous. The space of linear bounded operators  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{F})$  is quasi-Banach with the quasi-norm  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{F})\|L\| = \sup_{\mathfrak{U}\|u\|=1} \mathfrak{F}\|Lu\|$ .

**Theorem 1.** For every  $q \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $l_q^m$  be a quasi-Banach space with  $q\|u\|_m = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{mq/2} |u_k|^q\right)^{1/q}$ .

**Remark.** We observe that the spaces  $\ell_q^m$  do not depend on choice of a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}$ , and there are dense and continuous embedding  $\ell_q^n \hookrightarrow \ell_q^m$  for  $n \geq m$  and  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Also, we note that a constant  $C = 2^{\frac{1}{q}-1}$  when  $q \in (0, 1)$ , while  $C = 1$  when  $q \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.** For every  $q \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , a quasi-Laplace operator  $\Lambda : l_q^{m+2} \rightarrow l_q^m$  is a toplinear isomorphism operator.

Let operators  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{F})$ , then a  $L$ -resolvent set:

$\rho^L(M) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : (\mu L - M)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{U})\}$  and a  $L$ -spectrum  $\sigma^L(M) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho^L(M)$  of an operator  $M$ . Suppose  $\rho^L(M) \neq \varnothing$ , then operator-functions:  $(\mu L - M)^{-1} R_\mu^L(M) = (\mu L - M)^{-1} L$  and  $L_\mu^L(M) = L(\mu L - M)^{-1}$  are called  $L$  resolvent, right and left  $L$  resolvent of an operator  $M$  respectively. We observe that:  $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(\mu L - M)^{-1} (\lambda L - M)^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + (\lambda - \mu) R_\lambda^L(M) \quad (1)$$

$$R_\lambda^L(M) - R_\mu^L(M) = (\mu - \lambda) R_\mu^L(M) R_\lambda^L(M), \quad (2)$$

An operator  $M$  is said to be *spectrally bounded* with respect to an operator  $L$  (shortly,  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded) if

$$\exists a \in \mathbb{R}_+ \forall \mu \in \mathbb{C} (|\mu| > a) \Rightarrow (\mu \in \rho^L(M)).$$

Let an operator  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded, and a contour:

$\gamma = \{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : |\mu| = r > a\}$ . Consider integrals of the type F. Rissa

$$P = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} R_\mu^L(M) d\mu, \quad Q = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} L_\mu^L(M) d\mu.$$

**Lemma 1.** Let an operator  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded, then operators  $P \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U})$  ( $\equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{U})$ ) and  $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F})$  ( $\equiv \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}; \mathfrak{F})$ ) are projectors.

**Proof.** Take a contour  $\dot{\gamma} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = r' > r\}$ . According to the analyticity of integral operators  $P$  and  $Q$  then,

$$P^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\dot{\gamma}} \int_{\gamma} R_\mu^L(M) R_\lambda^L(M) d\mu d\lambda =$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \left( \int_{\dot{\gamma}} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda - \mu} \int_{\gamma} R_\mu^L(M) d\mu + \int_{\dot{\gamma}} R_\lambda^L(M) d\lambda \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \right) = P,$$

according to the Fubini theorem, residue theorems and the equation (2). We prove  $Q$  as a projector operator Similarly. •

We observe that  $\forall u \in \mathfrak{U}$ ,

$$LPu = QLu. \quad (3)$$

$$MPu = QMu. \quad (4)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{U}^0$  ( $\mathfrak{U}^1$ ) =  $\ker P$  ( $\operatorname{im} P$ ),  $\mathfrak{F}^0$  ( $\mathfrak{F}^1$ ) =  $\ker Q$  ( $\operatorname{im} Q$ ), and  $L_k$  ( $M_k$ ) is the restriction of an operator  $L$  ( $M$ ) to  $\mathfrak{U}^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1$ . It follows from the lemma 1 that the projectors  $P$  and  $Q$  split the spaces  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  into direct sums  $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{U}^1$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{F}^1$ .

**Theorem 3. (Sviridyuk-Jawad Al-Delfi Theorem)** Let an operator  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded, then

- (i) operators  $L_k, M_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}^k; \mathfrak{F}^k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1$ ;
- (ii) there are operators  $L_1^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}^1; \mathfrak{U}^1)$  and  $M_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}^0; \mathfrak{U}^0)$ .

**Proof.** Clearly, the statement (i) follows from the relations (3), (4).

(ii) Using the equation (1) when  $\lambda = 0$ , by the continuity of an operator  $M$ , and by Lemma 1, let  $f^o \in \mathfrak{F}^0$ , then

$$M \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\mu L - M)^{-1} f^o \frac{d\mu}{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} f^o + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} L_{\mu}^L(M) f^o d\mu = -f^o.$$

Now, let  $u^o \in \mathfrak{U}^0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\mu L - M)^{-1} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} M u^o = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} u^o + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} R_{\mu}^L(M) u^o d\mu = -u^o.$$

This means that an operator  $M_0^{-1}$  is equal to a restriction of an operator  $-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\mu L - M)^{-1} \frac{d\mu}{\mu}$  on the subspace  $\mathfrak{F}^0$ . Also, by Lemma 1, an operator  $L_1^{-1}$  is equal to a restriction of an operator  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\mu L - M)^{-1} d\mu$  on a subspace  $\mathfrak{F}^1$ . •

According to Theorem 3, there are operators:  $H = M_0^{-1} L_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}^0)$ ,  $S = L_1^{-1} M_1 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}^1)$ .

We say that  $\infty$  is a *removable singularity point* if  $H \equiv \mathbb{O}$ ; *pole of order*  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $H^p \neq \mathbb{O}$  and  $H^{p+1} \equiv \mathbb{O}$ ; *essential singularity point* of  $L$ -resolvent of an operator  $M$  if  $H^k \neq \mathbb{O}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of  $L$ -resolvent of an operator  $M$ . An operator  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded is called  $M(L, p)$ -bounded if  $\infty$  is a nonessential singularity point of its  $L$ -resolvent.

### Cauchy Condition for Nonhomogeneous Equations

Let operators  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{F})$ , where  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  are quasi-Banach spaces. Consider linear Sobolev type equation

$$L\dot{u} = Mu + f. \quad (5)$$

A vector function  $u : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ ,  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying equation (5) is called a *solution* of this equation. The solution  $u = u(t)$  of the equation (5) will be called *the solution of a Cauchy problem*:

$$u(0) = u_0. \quad (6)$$

For equation (5) (briefly, a solution to the problem (5), (6)) it in addition satisfies the Cauchy condition (6) for some  $u_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$ .

A mapping  $U^{\bullet} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}))$  is called a group of solving operators of a homogeneous equation (5) if  $U^s U^t = U^{s+t} \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for any  $u_0 \in \mathfrak{U}$ , the vector function  $u(t) = U^t u_0$  has solutions of a homogeneous equation (5).

**Theorem 4.** Let the operator  $M$  be  $(L,p)$ -bounded,  $p \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ , point  $0 \in [a,b]$ . Then for any  $f \in C^\infty([a,b]; \mathfrak{F})$  and any

$$u_0 \in \left\{ u \in \mathfrak{U} : u = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^k M_0^{-1} (\mathbb{I} - Q) f^{(k)}(0) \right\},$$

there is a unique solution  $u \in C^\infty([a,b]; \mathfrak{U})$  of problem (5), (6), which also has the form

$$u(t) = - \sum_{k=0}^p H^k M_0^{-1} (\mathbb{I} - Q) f^{(k)}(t) + U^t u_0 + \int_0^t U^{t-s} L_1^{-1} Q f(s) ds.$$

Here the family of operators  $\{U^t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is a solving group of a homogeneous equation (5).

**Proof.** According to Theorem 3, problem (5), (6) is reduced to two problems:

$$H \dot{u}^0 = u^0 + M_0^{-1} f^0, u^0(0) = u_0^0 \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{u}^1 = S u^1 + L_1^{-1} f^1, u^1(0) = u_1^0, \quad (8)$$

where  $u^m$  vectors  $\in \mathfrak{U}^m$ ,  $f^m \in \mathfrak{F}^m$ ,  $m = 0, 1$ . Operator  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{U}^1)$ , so problem (8) has a unique solution  $u^1 \in C^\infty([a,b]; \mathfrak{U})$ , is presented as:

$$u^1(t) = e^{tS} u_1^0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)S} L_1^{-1} Q f^1(s) ds, \text{ where } t \in [a,b].$$

In order to consider the problem (7), we assume additionally that  $\infty$  is a nonessential singularity point of  $L$ -resolvent of an operator  $M$ .

Then, by successive differentiation equation (7) with respect to  $t$  and premultiplying it by the operator  $H$  from the left, we finally get

$$u^0(t) = - \sum_{k=0}^p H^k M_0^{-1} f^{(k)}(t), t \in [a,b].$$

Hence, it is clear that problem (7) is unsolvable if

$$u_0^0 \neq - \sum_{k=0}^p H^k M_0^{-1} f^{(k)}(0). \quad (9)$$

On the other hand, if (9) does not hold, then problem (7) has a unique solution  $u^0 \in C^\infty([a,b]; \mathfrak{U}^0)$ . Let us describe the set of admissible initial values of problem (7), i.e. such that problem (7) is uniquely solvable. According to (9) and Theorem 3, this set has the form

$$\mathfrak{P}_f = \{u \in \mathfrak{U} : (\mathbb{I} - Q)(Mu + \sum_{k=0}^p L_0 M_0^{-1} f^{(k)}(0)) = 0\}.$$

Therefore,  $u_0 \in \left\{ u \in \mathfrak{U} : u = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H^k M_0^{-1} (\mathbb{I} - Q) f^{(k)}(0) \right\}$ . Thus, the theorem is proved. •

**Example .** Let  $\mathfrak{U} = l_q^{m+2}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} = l_q^m$ ,  $L, M \in \mathcal{L}(l_q^{m+2}; l_q^m)$  set by the formulas  $L = \lambda - \Lambda$ ,  $M = \alpha \Lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $\sigma^L(M) = \left\{ \mu_k \in \mathbb{C} : \mu_k = \frac{\alpha \lambda_k}{\lambda - \lambda_k}, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{l : \lambda = \lambda_l\} \right\}$ , then  $M(L, \sigma)$ -bounded. Also,

$$\mathfrak{U}^0 = \begin{cases} \{0\}, & \text{if } \lambda \notin \{\lambda_k\}; \\ \{u \in \mathfrak{U} : u_k = 0, k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{l : \lambda = \lambda_l\}\}, & \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{U}^1 = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{U}, & \text{if } \lambda \notin \{\lambda_k\}; \\ \{u \in \mathfrak{U} : u_l = 0, \lambda_l = \lambda\}. & \end{cases}$$

The subspaces  $\mathfrak{F}^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1$  are defined similarly. We observe that an operator  $M(L, 0)$ -bounded. Indeed, it is easy to show that in this case the operator  $H = \mathbb{O}$ .

Consider the Barenblatt-Zhel'tov-Kochina equation:

$$(\lambda - \Lambda)\dot{u} = \alpha \Lambda u + f. \quad (10)$$

If take Cauchy condition with equation (10), we have problem (10), (6).

**Corollary.** Let  $0 \in [a, b]$ , then for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $f \in C^\infty([a, b]; \ell_q^m)$  and any

$$u_0 \in \{u \in \ell_q^{m+2} : u = -M_0^{-1}(\mathbb{I} - Q)f(0)\},$$

there is a unique solution  $u \in C^\infty([a, b]; \ell_q^{m+2})$  of the problem (10), (6), which also has the form:

$$u(t) = -M_0^{-1}(\mathbb{I} - Q)f(t) + U^t u_0 + \int_0^t U^{t-s} L_1^{-1} Q f(s) ds.$$

**Proof.** Since an operator  $M(L, 0)$ -bounded, which is shown in the previous example, where  $H = \mathbb{O}$  and according to Theorem 4, then the desired result is satisfied. •

## REFERENCES

1. Berg, J. Interpolation spaces. Introduction / J. Berg, J. Lofstr. — M. : Mir, 1980. — 264 p.
2. Kalton, N. Quasi-Banach space / N. Kalton. Handbook of Geometry of Banach spaces, vol. 2, Ed. by W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss. — Amsterdam etc. : Elsevier, 2003. — P. 1099–1130.
3. Al-Delfi, D. K. Quasi-Sobol spaces  $\ell_p^m$  / D. K. Al-Delfi // Bulletin of SUSU. Series: Mathematics. Mechanics. Physics. — 2013. — V. 5, № 1. — P. 107–109.
4. Sviridyuk, G. A. Splitting Theorem in quasi-Banach spaces / G. A. Sviridyuk, J. K. Al-Delfi // Mathematical Notes of YSU. — 2013. — V. 20, № 1. — P. 180–185.
5. Barenblatt, G. I. Basic Concepts in The Theory of Seepage of Homogeneous Liquids in Fissured Rocks / G. I. Barenblatt, Iu. P. Zhel'tov, I. N. Cochina // Applied mathematics and mechanics. — 1960. — T. 24, № 5. — P. 1286–1303.
6. Sviridyuk, G. A. Non-classical model of mathematical physics / G. A. Sviridyuk, S. A. Zagrebina // Vestnik SUSU. Series: Mathematical modeling and programming. — 2012. — № 40(299). — P. 7–18.
7. Sagadeeva, M. A. Solvability of non-stationary problem of filtration theory / M. A. Sagadeeva // Bulletin of South Ural State University. Series: Mathematical modeling and programming. — 2012. — № 18. — P. 45–56.

Jawad Kadhim Khalaf Al-Delfi, Assistant Professor, Ph. D. Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq  
E-mail: rassian71@mail.ru

Джавад Кадим Кхалаф Аль-Делфи, Доцент, Ph. D., кафедра математики, Факультет Науки, Мустансирия Университета, Багдад, Ирак  
E-mail: rassian71@mail.ru