

OPERATOR IDEALS AND INTERPOLATION IN HILBERT COUPLES*

V. I. Ovchinnikov

(*Voronezh State University*)

Поступила в редакцию 24.02.2014 г.

Abstract: this paper is a survey of results on the operator ideals, which are related to interpolation theory of linear operators. We discuss the application of the real and complex method interpolation constructions to classical operator ideals, acting in scales of spaces, related to Hilbert couples, and some improvements of interpolation properties of linear operators, if these linear operators belong to some ideals. We consider also interpolation orbits with respect to some operator ideals. The paper is devoted to ideals of operators acting in Hilbert spaces or in couples of Hilbert spaces. We consider modern approach to the problem mentioned above.

Key words and phrases: cross-norm ideals, interpolation of linear operators.

ОПЕРАТОРНЫЕ ИДЕАЛЫ И ИНТЕРПОЛЯЦИЯ В ГИЛЬБЕРТОВЫХ ПАРАХ

В. И. Овчинников

Аннотация: эта статья является обзором результатов об операторных идеалах, которые связаны с теорией интерполяции линейных операторов. Рассматривается применение интерполяционных конструкций вещественного и комплексного метода к классическим идеалам операторов, действующих в шкалах пространств, связанных с гильбертовыми парами, и уточнение интерполяционных свойств операторов, когда они принадлежат операторным идеалам. Мы также рассматриваем интерполяционные орбиты относительно некоторых операторных идеалов. Рассматриваются только операторные идеалы, действующие в гильбертовых пространствах или парах гильбертовых пространств. В обзоре рассмотрены современные подходы к решению упомянутых выше задач.

Ключевые слова: операторные идеалы, интерполяция линейных операторов.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	143
2. CLASSICAL IDEALS OF OPERATORS MAPPING HILBERT SPACES	143
3. BANACH COUPLES AND FUNCTORS	144
4. INTERPOLATION THEOREMS FOR IDEALS MAPPING HILBERT COUPLES	149
5. ORBITS WITH RESPECT TO IDEALS IN HILBERT COUPLES	150
6. THE COMPLEX METHOD AND EMBEDDING FOR CROSS-NORM IDEALS	153
7. DESCRIPTION OF THE SUM AND THE INTERSECTION OF OPERATOR SPACES OF HILBERT COUPLES	155
8. THE LIONS-PEETRE CONSTRUCTION FOR COUPLES OF NEUMANN-SCHATTEN IDEALS	157
9. INTERPOLATION OF IDEAL PROPERTIES IN INTERPOLATION HILBERT SPACES	159

* The paper was supported in part by RFBR Grant No. 13-01-00378 and by ISF and Russian Government Grant No. JD7100

© Ovchinnikov V. I., 2014

1. INTRODUCTION

Interpolation spaces and operator ideals are related to each other in at least two directions. First, you are able to apply an interpolation construction to classical operator ideals and to obtain a new operator ideal. If you obtain somewhat familiar ideal as a result, then you find a dependence between classical ideals, which can be useful. And this phenomenon was historically the first, which was performed in the works of I.C.Gohberg and M.G.Krein (see [8]). The second direction is to use somewhat complementary properties of operators from an ideal in order to get more sharp interpolation properties of these operators.

Thus the first direction is one of applications of the interpolation theory. The second direction can be considered as a part of interpolation theory itself. We are going to deal with both these relations between interpolation spaces and operator ideals in the given survey paper.

The most developed part of the operator ideals theory is the theory of cross-norm ideals of operators in Hilbert spaces. And for these ideals we have the most complete results concerning interpolation properties of operators from these ideals.

No proofs are discussed. The corresponding references are presented as well as several open problems are mentioned.

2. CLASSICAL IDEALS OF OPERATORS MAPPING HILBERT SPACES

We shall suppose that readers are familiar with main fundamentals of the theory of cross-norm ideals of operators mapping Hilbert spaces. In this Section we simply recall more or less common notations.

Let T be a bounded linear operator mapping a Hilbert space H into a Hilbert space F . This will be denoted by $T : H \rightarrow F$ and $T \in L(H \rightarrow F)$, where $L(H \rightarrow F)$ is the space of all bounded linear operators mapping H into F .

Singular numbers or s -numbers of $T \in L(H \rightarrow F)$ are defined as follows

$$s_n(T) = \inf_K \|T - K\|_{L(H \rightarrow F)},$$

where *infimum* is taken over all $K \in L(H \rightarrow F)$ provided $\dim K(H) < n$. Thus $s_1(T) = \|T\|_{L(H \rightarrow F)}$ and $s_n(T) \geq s_{n+1}(T)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If the operator T is compact (which is denoted by $T \in S_\infty(H \rightarrow F)$), then $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ is also compact, therefore it's spectrum is discrete. Hence we are able to construct a monotone positive sequence $\lambda_j(|T|)$, which runs over all eigenvalues of $|T|$, taking into account it's multiplicities, and tends to 0. It turns out that $s_j(T) = \lambda_j(|T|)$ for any $j \geq 1$.

Thus $s_j(T) \rightarrow 0$, if $T \in S_\infty(H \rightarrow F)$. Moreover the subspace $S_\infty(H \rightarrow F) \subset L(H \rightarrow F)$ can be characterized by this property of s -numbers, i.e., $T \in S_\infty(H \rightarrow F)$ is equivalent to $s_j(T) \rightarrow 0$ or $\{s_j(T)\} \in c_0$.

The properties of s -numbers are well known (see [8]).

The s -numbers of compact operators are also used in *Schmidt's expansion* of these operators. Namely, for any $T \in S_\infty(H \rightarrow F)$ there exists an orthonormal sequence $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^d$ ($\psi_j \in F$) and an orthonormal sequence $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^d$ ($\varphi_j \in H$), where $d = \min(\dim H, \dim F)$, such that

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^d s_j(T)(x, \varphi_j)\psi_j. \quad (2.1)$$

The *Neumann-Schatten classes* $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$, where $0 < p < \infty$, are defined as follows.

An operator T is said to be an element of $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$ if $\{s_j(T)\} \in l_p$ or

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T)^p < \infty.$$

It is known that $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$ is a Banach space, and

$$\|T\|_{S_p(H \rightarrow F)} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j(T)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

is a norm on $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$, if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If $0 < p < 1$, then $\|T\|_{S_p(H \rightarrow F)}$ is a quasi-norm and $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$ is a quasi-Banach space.

The space $S_p(H \rightarrow F)$ is an ideal in $L(H \rightarrow F)$, which means that for any $U_1 \in L(H' \rightarrow H)$, $U_2 \in L(F \rightarrow F')$ and $T \in S_p(H \rightarrow F)$ we have $U_2 T U_1 \in S_p(H' \rightarrow F')$ and

$$\|U_2 T U_1\|_{S_p(H' \rightarrow F')} \leq \|U_2\| \cdot \|T\|_{S_p(H \rightarrow F)} \cdot \|U_1\|.$$

The ideal $S_1(H \rightarrow F)$ is one of the most important because it coincides with the ideal of all nuclear operators mapping H into F . The Neumann-Schatten classes are particular example of cross-norm ideals mapping Hilbert spaces.

Recall that an ideal $I(H)$ in the algebra $L(H)$ is called a cross-norm ideal or a symmetrically normed ideal if $I(H)$ is a Banach space with respect to a norm on $I(H)$ such that

$$\|UTS\|_{I(H)} \leq \|U\|_{L(H)} \|T\|_{I(H)} \|S\|_{L(H)}$$

for any $U, S \in L(H)$ and $T \in I(H)$.

Note that the notion of a cross-norm ideal can be easily extended to operators, mapping one Hilbert space to another.

3. BANACH COUPLES AND FUNCTORS

In this Section we recall simple general properties of Banach couples, which will be applied later on to couples of operator spaces. For detailed information see [4], [17].

Recall that if $\{X_0, X_1\}$ is a Banach couple, then for any $x \in X_0 + X_1$ and any $s, t > 0$ we can define the K-functional:

$$K(s, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\}) = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} s\|x_0\|_{X_0} + t\|x_1\|_{X_1}$$

and $K(t, x; \{X_0, X_1\}) = K(1, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\})$.

3.1 Interpolation functors on couples of operator spaces

Definition 3.1. Let $\bar{E} = \{E_0, E_1\}$ and $\bar{F} = \{F_0, F_1\}$ be Banach couples and $a \in E_0 + E_1$, $b \in F_0 + F_1$. The elements a and b are called orbitally equivalent with respect to the couples \bar{E} and \bar{F} if there exist linear operators $T : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{F}$ and $S : \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ such that $Ta = b$, $Sb = a$.

If any element $a \in E_0 + E_1$ is orbitally equivalent to some element $b \in F_0 + F_1$ then the couple \bar{E} is called a partial retract of the couple \bar{F} .

If the couple \bar{E} is a partial retract of the couple \bar{F} and the couple \bar{F} is a partial retract of the couple \bar{E} , then couples \bar{E} and \bar{F} are called orbitally equivalent.

The notion of orbital equivalence of Banach couples is rather similar to the notion of the homotopic equivalence of topological spaces, especially if we compare with the notion of

isomorphism. For instance any couples of spaces $\{E_0, E_1\}$, where $E_0 = E_1$ with equivalent norms, are orbitally equivalent. Moreover $\{E_0, E_1\}$ is orbitally equivalent to the couple of one-dimensional spaces $\{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\}$.

Interpolation for couples of operator ideals are based mainly on the following theorem (see [32], [34]).

Theorem 3.1. *Banach couples $\{S_1(H \rightarrow F), L(H \rightarrow F)\}$ and $\{l_1, l_\infty\}$ are orbitally equivalent if both H and F are infinite dimensional. In general case any operator $T \in L(H \rightarrow F)$ is orbitally equivalent to the sequence $\{s_j(T)\} \in l_\infty$ with respect to the couples*

$$\{S_1(H \rightarrow F), L(H \rightarrow F)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{l_1, l_\infty\}.$$

Moreover the operators mapping T into $\{s_j(T)\}$ and vice versa can be chosen with the unit norms in the corresponding spaces.

The proof is based on Schmidt’s expansion (2.1) if we note that the subspace of operators $\{T_\lambda\} \subset L(H \rightarrow F)$, where $\lambda \in l_\infty$ such that

$$T_\lambda(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j(x, \varphi_j)\psi_j,$$

and the orthonormal sequences $\{\varphi_j\} \subset H$ and $\{\psi_j\} \subset F$ are fixed, is complemented in $L(H \rightarrow F)$ and corresponding projection is bounded in $S_1(H \rightarrow F)$.

Theorem 3.1 enables us to establish the one to one correspondence between interpolation spaces of the couple $\{S_1(H \rightarrow F), L(H \rightarrow F)\}$ and interpolation spaces of the couple $\{l_1, l_\infty\}$ if both H and F are infinite dimensional spaces.

Indeed if Φ is an interpolation space between l_1 and l_∞ , consider the space $\tilde{\Phi}$ between $S_1(H \rightarrow F)$ and $L(H \rightarrow F)$ consisting of all $T \in L(H \rightarrow F)$ which are orbitally equivalent to elements of Φ with respect to the couples $\{l_1, l_\infty\}$ and $\{S_1(H \rightarrow F), L(H \rightarrow F)\}$.

The norm on $\tilde{\Phi}$ can be introduced by $\|T\|_{\tilde{\Phi}} = \|\{s_j(T)\}\|_{\Phi}$.

It is easily seen that the correspondence between Φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$ is an order isomorphism between sets of all interpolation spaces of the corresponding couples.

This one to one correspondence allows us to carry on interpolation properties of sequence spaces to the properties of corresponding operator spaces.

For instance, if two interpolation functors coincide on the couple $\{l_1, l_\infty\}$ then they coincide on the couple $\{S_1(H \rightarrow F), L(H \rightarrow F)\}$ and vice versa. Moreover if Φ_0 and Φ_1 are two interpolation spaces between l_1 and l_∞ , then for any interpolation functor \mathcal{F}

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\Phi}_1) = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}(\Phi_0, \Phi_1)}. \tag{3.1}$$

For quasi-Banach ideals S_p we also have somewhat analogous results, but only for real method functors (mainly for the Lions-Peetre construction) (see [33]). This fact is likely related to the orbital equivalence of couples $\{l_p, l_\infty\}$ and $\{S_p(H), L(H)\}$ for any $0 < p < 1$ with respect to quasi-linear operators.

Definition 3.2. *A cross-norm ideal Φ of operators mapping Hilbert spaces is called an interpolation ideal if Φ is an interpolation space between $S_1(H \rightarrow F)$ and $L(H \rightarrow F)$.*

Any separable cross-norm ideal is an interpolation ideal as well as any ideal dual to some separable ideal (see [9]). In particular any the Neumann–Schatten ideal is an interpolation ideal.

Recall that any interpolation ideal Φ corresponds to an interpolation space between l_1 and l_∞ which is orbitally equivalent to Φ .

3.2 Couples of Hilbert spaces

Let $\overline{H} = \{H_0, H_1\}$ be a Hilbert couple, i.e., Banach couple, where H_0 and H_1 are Hilbert spaces. It is worthwhile to note that the intersection $H_0 \cap H_1$ and the sum $H_0 + H_1$ are also Hilbert spaces. For example we can introduce a quadratic form

$$\|x\|_{H_0 \cap H_1}^2 = \|x\|_{H_0}^2 + \|x\|_{H_1}^2,$$

on the $H_0 \cap H_1$, and a quadratic form

$$\|x\|_{H_0 + H_1}^2 = \inf_{x=x_0+x_1} \|x_0\|_{H_0}^2 + \|x_1\|_{H_1}^2$$

on the sum of spaces H_0 and H_1 .

As we shall see below the isomorphic structure of an arbitrary Hilbert couple is not so complicated. It doesn't look very unexpected because of a simple structure of an arbitrary Hilbert space.

A Banach couple $\overline{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$ is called regular if the space $X_0 \cap X_1$ is dense in X_0 and X_1 .

In what follows we mainly consider regular Hilbert couples.

Any Hilbert couple generates an indefinite metric on $H_0 \cap H_1$

$$\Delta(x) = \|x\|_{H_0}^2 - \|x\|_{H_1}^2,$$

which is bounded by $\|x\|_{H_0 \cap H_1}^2$. Evidently $|\Delta(x)| < \|x\|_{H_0 \cap H_1}^2$, if $x \neq 0$.

It is easy to see also that any continuous indefinite metric $\mathcal{J}(x)$ on a Hilbert space H such that $|\mathcal{J}(x)| < \|x\|^2$ if $x \neq 0$ generates a Hilbert couple by introducing two new norms on the underlying Hilbert space, namely

$$\|x\|_{H_0}^2 = \|x\|^2 - \mathcal{J}(x)$$

$$\|x\|_{H_1}^2 = \|x\|^2 + \mathcal{J}(x).$$

The spaces H_0 and H_1 are now defined by completion with respect to the norms introduced. Thus we obtain a regular Hilbert couple such that $H_0 \cap H_1 = H$.

Let us denote by D a self-adjointed operator, generating the metric $\Delta(x)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta(x) = (Dx, x),$$

where (x, x) is the metric on $H_0 \cap H_1$. Hence the metric on H_0 is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}((I + D)x, x) = \|x\|_{H_0}^2,$$

and the metric on H_1 is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}((I - D)x, x) = \|x\|_{H_1}^2.$$

Therefore, if the metric $\|x\|_0^2$ is fixed, then $\|x\|_1^2$ is introduced by the operator

$$\left(\frac{I - D}{I + D}\right)^{1/2} = A, \text{ that is } \|x\|_1^2 = (A^2x, x)_0.$$

If E_λ denotes the spectral resolution of the operator A , then denote by G_n the space $(E_{2-n} - E_{2-n-1})(H_0)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $H_0 = l_2(G_n)$, where $l_2(G_n)$ denotes the space of sequences $\{\xi_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ such that $\xi_n \in G_n$ and

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\|_{G_n}^2 < \infty.$$

(Note that some G_n may be trivial, i.e., $G_n = 0$.)

The space H_1 now can be identified (up to equivalent norms) with the space $l_2(2^{-n}G_n)$ of the sequences $\{\xi_n\}$, such that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{-n}\|\xi_n\|_{G_n})^2 < \infty.$$

Hence we are able to consider any regular Hilbert couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ as a couple of vector-valued weight sequence spaces $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$.

Such couples are well studied (see [3] Chapter 4), and the classical interpolation functors are described for these couples.

The Lions-Peetre construction $\overline{X}_{\theta,p}$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < p \leq \infty$, applied to the couple $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$ gives us a weighted l_p space, namely (see [3])

$$(l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n))_{\theta,p} = l_p(2^{-\theta n}G_n),$$

where $\{\xi_n\} \in l_p(2^{-\theta n}G_n)$ means $\{2^{-\theta n}\|\xi_n\|_{G_n}\} \in l_p$.

The Calderon complex method $[\overline{X}]_{\theta}$, where $0 < \theta < 1$ gives us the unique scale of Hilbert spaces connecting $l_2(G_n)$ and $l_2(2^{-n}G_n)$, i.e.,

$$[l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)]_{\theta} = l_2(2^{-\theta n}G_n).$$

Moreover any interpolation Hilbert space H between $l_2(G_n)$ and $l_2(2^{-n}G_n)$ can be identified (see [17]) with the space $l_2(\varphi(1, 2^{-n})G_n)$, where $\varphi(s, t)$ is an interpolation function.

Recall that a function $\varphi(s, t) > 0$, ($s, t > 0$), is called an interpolation function if

- 1) $\varphi(s, t)$ increases in s and t ,
- 2) $\varphi(\lambda s, \lambda t) = \lambda \varphi(s, t)$ for any $\lambda, s, t > 0$.

The function $\varphi(s, t)$ is an interpolation function, if and only if $\varphi(1, t)$ is increasing and $\varphi(1, t)/t$ is decreasing, i.e., $\varphi(1, t)$ is a quasi-concave function on $(0, \infty)$.

If the couple of Hilbert spaces is presented in the form of a couple of vector-valued sequence spaces $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$ then operators, mapping intermediate spaces between H_0 and H_1 can be presented in a matrix form. Indeed, if $T : H_0 \cap H_1 \rightarrow H_0 + H_1$, then there corresponds a block-matrix $\{T_{ij}\}$ such that $T_{ij} : G_j \rightarrow G_i$ and

$$T_{ij}(\xi) = (T(\xi e_j))_i,$$

where $e_j = \{\delta_{ij}\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$, and $\xi \in G_j$.

Any weight space

$$l_2(w_n G_n) = \{\xi_n; \{\xi_n w_n\} \in l_2(G_n)\}$$

is isomorphic to $l_2(G_n)$, where the isometry is established with the help of the multiplication $M_w : \{\xi_n\} \mapsto \{\xi_n w_n\} \in l_2(G_n)$. This gives us an opportunity to present any condition

$$T \in S_p(l_2(w_n G_n) \rightarrow l_2(w_n G_n)), \tag{3.2}$$

as

$$M_w T M_w^{-1} \in S_p(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n)).$$

If we use the matrix representation of the corresponding operators, then any condition (3.2) we reduce to a weight condition

$$\left\{ \frac{w_i}{w_j} T_{ij} \right\} \in S_p(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n)).$$

Thus we able to consider $S_p(l_2(w_n G_n) \rightarrow l_2(w_n G_n))$ as a weight space

$$S_p(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))(w_i w_j^{-1}). \tag{3.3}$$

3.3 Duality for Neumann-Schatten ideals mapping Hilbert couples

Consider the space \mathfrak{m} of matrices $\{T_{ij}\}$, where T_{ij} are finite-rank operators mapping G_j into G_i and only finite number of $T_{ij} \neq 0$, i.e., $\text{supp}_{i,j} T_{ij}$ is finite. There is a duality between this space and the space \mathfrak{M} of arbitrary matrices $T_{ij} \in L(G_j \rightarrow G_i)$ which is established by the scalar product

$$\langle S, T \rangle = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{tr}(S_{ij}T_{ji}),$$

where $S \in \mathfrak{m}$, $T \in \mathfrak{M}$.

This duality allows us to obtain an isometry between the conjugate space $S_p(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))^*$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and the space $S_q(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ($p \neq 1$) (see [8]), and

$$S_1(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))^* \cong L(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n)).$$

The same duality applied to the weight space (3.3) gives us the isometry

$$\begin{aligned} S_p(l_2(w_n G_n) \rightarrow l_2(w_n G_n))^* &= S_p(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))(w_i w_j^{-1})^* \\ &\cong S_q(l_2(G_n) \rightarrow l_2(G_n))(w_j w_i^{-1}) = S_q(l_2(w_n^{-1} G_n) \rightarrow l_2(w_n^{-1} G_n)). \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Spectral classification of Hilbert couples

A Banach couple $\bar{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$ is called K -abundant (see [4]), if for any interpolation function $\varphi(s, t)$ there exists an element $a \in X_0 + X_1$, such that

$$\varphi(s, t) \asymp K(s, t, a; \{X_0, X_1\}).$$

(Recall that \asymp means as usual that there exist two positive constants c and d such that

$$c\varphi(s, t) \leq K(s, t, a; \{X_0, X_1\}) \leq d\varphi(s, t)$$

for all $s, t > 0$.)

The couple \bar{X} is called K_0 -abundant if the same is true for any function φ such that $\varphi(1, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi(s, 1) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$.

N. Krugliak found (see, [4]) that \bar{X} is K_0 -abundant if for some $0 < \theta < 1$

$$K(t, a_\theta; \{X_0, X_1\}) \asymp t^\theta,$$

where $a_\theta \in X_0 + X_1$. Thus this property is simple in checking. In particular if $G_n \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for any $a_\theta = \{2^{n\theta} g_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ with $\|g_n\|_{G_n} = 1$ we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, a_\theta; \{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n} G_n)\}) &\asymp \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\min(1, t2^{-n}) 2^{n\theta} \|g_n\|_{G_n})^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (\min(1, t2^{-n}) 2^{n\theta})^2 \right)^{1/2} \asymp t^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore any couple, where $G_n \neq 0$, is K_0 -abundant.

This remark gives us some right to call K_0 -abundant Hilbert couples as spectrally filling couples or couples with full spectrum. Any ordered couple, i.e., the couple for which $H_0 \subset H_1$ or $H_0 \supset H_1$ is not spectrally filling. However any ordered couple \bar{H} may happen to be a "half" of a spectrally

filling couple, i.e., the couple $\overline{H} \oplus \overline{F}$ is spectrally filling for some ordered couple \overline{F} . In this case we call the couple \overline{H} as a generalized spectrally filling couple.

Unfortunately there is no an adequate notion of spectrum of a Banach or Hilbert couple. The problem is that the exact norms of spaces involved are not very important. Recall that even the famous Riesz–Thorin interpolation theorem is not exact in general in spaces of real valued functions. Hence the notion of spectrum of Banach couple has to be independent of norms involved up to equivalence of norms. For example, it may happen that orbitally equivalent couples have identical spectrum.

Note that a lot of Hilbert couples in Analysis are generalized spectrally filling.

4. INTERPOLATION THEOREMS FOR IDEALS MAPPING HILBERT COUPLES

Interpolation theorems for operators belonging to the Neumann–Schatten classes can be sharper then interpolation results for bounded operators.

Let us consider the Lions–Peetre interpolation functor $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, p}$. Recall that if $\{X_0, X_1\}$ is a Banach couple, $0 < \theta < 1$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, then $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, p}$ by definition consists of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that $\{2^{-n\theta} K(2^n, x, \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in l_p$, equipped with the natural quasi-norm.

Let us consider Hilbert couples $\{H_0, H_1\}$ and $\{F_0, F_1\}$. Then standard interpolation gives us that $T : \{H_0, H_1\} \rightarrow \{F_0, F_1\}$ implies $(H_0, H_1)_{\theta, r} \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, r}$. As we see below this result is improved if $T \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$.

Theorem 4.1. [16] *If $T \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0)$ and $T \in S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$, where $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$, then for any $0 < r \leq \infty$ we have*

$$T : (H_0, H_1)_{\theta, r} \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q},$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1/q = 1/r + (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$.

(Note that we obtain the same result if replace $S_{\infty}(H_i \rightarrow F_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$ by $L(H_i \rightarrow F_i)$.)

The natural multiplicative inequality also takes place, i.e.,

$$\|T\|_{(H_0, H_1)_{\theta, r} \rightarrow (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q}} \leq C \|T\|_{S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0)}^{1-\theta} \cdot \|T\|_{S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)}^{\theta},$$

where C depends on p_0, p_1, r, θ .

This interpolation theorem is optimal from the orbital point of view. Namely if the couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ is spectrally filling, then for any $y \in (F_0, F_1)_{\theta, q}$ there exists $x \in (H_0, H_1)_{\theta, r}$ and an operator $T \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$ such that $y = Tx$.

This Theorem 4.1 is intimately connected with the following "model" interpolation theorem for discrete l_p spaces. In [18] Theorem 4.1 was used in the proof of this "model" theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *If a linear operator $T : \{l_{s_0}, l_{s_1}(2^{-n})\} \rightarrow \{l_{t_0}, l_{t_1}(2^{-n})\}$, where $0 < s_0, s_1, t_0, t_1 \leq \infty$ then*

$$1) T : l_r(2^{-n\theta}) \rightarrow l_q(2^{-n\theta}), \text{ where } 1/q = 1/r + (1 - \theta)(1/t_0 - 1/s_0)_+ + \theta(1/t_1 - 1/s_1)_+ \text{ and } 0 < r \leq \infty, 0 < \theta < 1;$$

$$2) T \in S_p(l_2(2^{-n\theta}) \rightarrow l_2(2^{-n\theta})), \text{ where } 1/p = (1 - \theta)(1/t_0 - 1/s_0)_+ + \theta(1/t_1 - 1/s_1)_+, \text{ and } S_{\infty} \text{ has to be replaced by } L.$$

The proof of both these results is based on the Grothendieck factorization theorem (see [13]) and the following theorem on coherent factorization in Hilbert couples (see [16]).

Two operators are said to be metrically equivalent if they have identical s -numbers.

Theorem 4.3. *Let an operator $T : \{H_0, H_1\} \rightarrow \{F_0, F_1\}$ and T be factorized through Hilbert spaces \tilde{F}_0 and \tilde{F}_1 separately as an operator mapping H_0 into F_0 and as an operator mapping H_1 into F_1 , i.e., $T|_{H_0} = U_0 S_0$, where $S_0 : H_0 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_0$, $U_0 : \tilde{F}_0 \rightarrow F_0$, and $T|_{H_1} = U_1 S_1$, where $S_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \tilde{F}_1$, $U_1 : \tilde{F}_1 \rightarrow F_1$.*

Then there exist a Hilbert couple $\{Q_0, Q_1\}$ and operators $S : \{H_0, H_1\} \rightarrow \{Q_0, Q_1\}$ and $U : \{Q_0, Q_1\} \rightarrow \{F_0, F_1\}$ such that $T = US$ and $U|_{Q_i}$ is metrically equivalent to U_i and $S|_{H_i}$ is metrically equivalent to S_i for $i = 0, 1$.

Let us illustrate this theorem by the remark on opportunity to separate the conditions $T \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0)$ and $T \in S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$. Indeed $T|_{H_0} = I \cdot T$ and $T|_{H_1} = T \cdot I$, where $\tilde{F}_0 = F_0$ and $\tilde{F}_1 = H_1$. Therefore, $T = US$, where $U : \{Q_0, Q_1\} \rightarrow \{F_0, F_1\}$ and $S : \{H_0, H_1\} \rightarrow \{Q_0, Q_1\}$, and $U \in S_{p_1}(Q_1 \rightarrow F_1) \cap L(Q_0 \rightarrow F_0)$ and $S \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow Q_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow Q_1)$.

5. ORBITS WITH RESPECT TO IDEALS IN HILBERT COUPLES

The notion of a norm ideal of operators mapping Hilbert couples is not uniquely defined (see [12], [7]). Here we use only the following one (see [7], [17]), which naturally corresponds to cross-norm ideals.

Definition 5.1. *The Banach space $I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F}) \subset L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ is called an ideal mapping Hilbert couples if*

$$1) \|T\|_{L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})} \leq \|T\|_{I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})},$$

2) any rank-one operator $T \in L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ belongs to $I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ provided

$$\|T\|_{L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})} = \|T\|_{I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})},$$

3) for any $T \in I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ and any $S \in L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{H})$, $U \in L(\overline{F} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ we have $UTS \in I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ provided $\|UTS\|_{I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})} \leq \|U\|_{L(\overline{F} \rightarrow \overline{F})} \|T\|_{I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})} \|S\|_{L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{H})}$.

Recall also the notion of interpolation orbit of an element $a \in H_0 + H_1$ with respect to some ideal of operators mapping Hilbert couples.

Definition 5.2. *Let $I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ be an ideal, and $a \in H_0 + H_1$. The space of all $y \in F_0 + F_1$ such that $y = Ta$, where $T \in I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$, is called an orbit of a with respect to $I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$ and is denoted by $Orb(a; I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F}))$.*

The orbit is equipped with the norm

$$\|y\| = \inf_{\substack{T \\ y = Ta}} \|T\|_{I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})} \|a\|_{H_0 + H_1}.$$

If we use this definition of an ideal, then the space $Orb(a; I(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F}))$ turns out to be an intermediate space between F_0 and F_1 . Moreover it is an interpolation space between F_0 and F_1 .

It is easily seen that each space of the form $S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$ is an ideal in $L(\overline{H} \rightarrow \overline{F})$.

We are going to describe interpolation orbits of arbitrary $a \in H_0 + H_1$ with respect to $S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$ for any $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$.

5.1 The generalized Lions–Peetre spaces of means

Let $\varphi(s, t)$ be interpolation function. In what follows we also suppose that $\varphi(s, 1) \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi(1, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$. As usual this property is denoted as $\varphi \in \Phi_0$.

Recall that for any function $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ there exists so called balanced sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{M}}$, where \mathbb{M} is an interval of integers [5]. The balanced sequence is not uniquely defined. There are several ways to construct a balanced sequence for a given function $\varphi \in \Phi_0$, (see [11], [4], [5]). For instance it may be constructed inductively by $u_0 = 1$ and

$$\min \left(\frac{\varphi(1, u_{m+1})}{\varphi(1, u_m)}, \frac{u_{m+1}\varphi(1, u_m)}{u_m\varphi(1, u_{m+1})} \right) = 2,$$

where \mathbb{M} is the maximal interval in \mathbb{Z} such that m and $m + 1 \in \mathbb{M}$.

Definition 5.3. [22] Let $\{X_0, X_1\}$ be a couple of Banach spaces, $\varphi \in \Phi_0$, and $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$. The space $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ is defined to be the space of elements $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$x = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{M}} \varphi(1, u_m)x_m \quad (\text{convergence in } X_0 + X_1),$$

where $x_m \in X_0 \cap X_1$, $\{\|x_m\|_{X_0}\} \in l_{p_0}$, and $\{u_m\|x_m\|_{X_1}\} \in l_{p_1}$ (see [22]).

In the case of $\varphi(s, t) = s^{1-\theta}t^\theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$ these spaces were introduced by J.-L.Lions and J.Peetre and were called the spaces of means. It is easily seen that our generalization of the Lions–Peetre method of means is a particular case of the general method of means considered in [4]. That is why such properties as Banach space property, interpolation property and essential K-monotonicity follow immediately from the definition.

It is important that the definition of $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ is independent of the choice of a balanced sequence $\{u_m\}$ for φ . This follows from the next proposition, which gives us the description of $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ in terms of the K-functional and the Calderon–Lozanovskii construction as well. Note that this description has nothing common with the description of the Lions–Peetre method of means obtained in [4].

Recall that the Calderon–Lozanovskii construction allows to introduce an intermediate quasi-Banach lattice $\varphi(X_0, X_1)$ with the help of two quasi-Banach lattices X_0 and X_1 and an interpolation function φ . The space $\varphi(X_0, X_1)$ consists of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$|x| = \varphi(|x_0|, |x_1|)$$

for some $x_0 \in X_0$ and $x_1 \in X_1$. The quasi-norm is defined by

$$\|x\|_{\varphi(X_0, X_1)} = \inf_{\substack{x_0, x_1 \\ \varphi(|x_0|, |x_1|) = |x|}} \max(\|x_0\|_{X_0}, \|x_1\|_{X_1}).$$

Recall that interpolation function φ is called non-degenerate if the ranges of the functions $\varphi(s, 1)$ and $\varphi(1, t)$ coincide with $(0, \infty)$. Note that in the case of non-degenerate functions the corresponding balanced sequences turns out to be bilateral, i.e., be defined on \mathbb{Z} .

Proposition 5.1. [23] Suppose that $\varphi \in \Phi_0$. If φ is non-degenerate, the space $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ consists of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function $K(s, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\})$.

If $\varphi(1, t)$ is bounded and $\varphi(s, 1)$ is unbounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ consists of $x \in X_0$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function $K(s, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\})$.

If $\varphi(1, t)$ is unbounded and $\varphi(s, 1)$ is bounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ consists of $x \in X_1$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function $K(s, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\})$.

If both $\varphi(1, t)$ and $\varphi(s, 1)$ are bounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1} = X_0 \cap X_1$.

It turns out that this Proposition may be used for definition of $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ if $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$.

Definition 5.4. [24] Suppose that $\{X_0, X_1\}$ is a Banach couple and $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$, $\varphi \in \Phi_0$. If φ is non-degenerate, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ is defined to consist of $x \in X_0 + X_1$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is a balanced sequence for the function $K(s, t, x; \{X_0, X_1\})$.

If $\varphi(1, t)$ is bounded and $\varphi(s, 1)$ is unbounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ is defined to consist of $x \in X_0$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is the same sequence.

If $\varphi(1, t)$ is unbounded and $\varphi(s, 1)$ is bounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ is defined to consist of $x \in X_1$ such that

$$\{K(1, w_m, x; \{X_0, X_1\})\} \in \varphi(l_{p_0}, l_{p_1}(w_m^{-1})),$$

where $\{w_m\}$ is the same sequence.

If both $\varphi(1, t)$ and $\varphi(s, 1)$ are bounded, then $\varphi(X_0, X_1)_{p_0, p_1} = X_0 \cap X_1$.

If \bar{X} is a Hilbert couple and $\varphi \in \Phi_0$, then the condition (5.1) on the K-functional is sufficient to $x \in \varphi(H_0, H_1)_{p_0, p_1}$ for all $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$.

If $\varphi(s, t)$ is an interpolation function, then $\varphi^*(s, t) = 1/\varphi(1/s, 1/t)$ is also an interpolation function. Denote by $\varphi(H_0, H_1)$ the space, which corresponds to the space $l_2(\varphi^*(1, 2^{-n})G_n)$ if we identify the couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ with the couple of vector-valued sequence spaces $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$ (see Section 3).

We use in the next subsection that $\varphi(H_0, H_1) = \varphi(H_0, H_1)_{2,2}$ (see [22],[23]).

Recall that $\Lambda_\varphi(H_0, H_1)$ denotes the so called generalized Lorentz spaces, corresponding to the interpolation function φ . (It can be defined in a lot of ways (see [7]), for instance as the smallest interpolation space E between H_0 and H_1 such that

$$\|x\|_E \leq \varphi(\|x\|_{H_0}, \|x\|_{H_1}).$$

The identity $\Lambda_{\varphi^*}(H_0, H_1) = \varphi(H_0, H_1)_{1,1}$ follows from the definitions.

The generalized Marcinkiewicz spaces $M_\varphi(H_0, H_1)$ can be defined through duality to the Lorentz spaces, but it is much more easier to define $M_\varphi(H_0, H_1)$ by the K-method. Namely

$$M_\varphi(H_0, H_1) = \{x \in H_0 + H_1; \sup_{0 < s, t} \frac{K(s, t, x; \{H_0, H_1\})}{\varphi(s, t)} < \infty\}$$

with the natural norm

$$\|x\|_{M_\varphi(H_0, H_1)} = \sup_{0 < s, t} \frac{K(s, t, x; \{H_0, H_1\})}{\varphi(s, t)}.$$

(see [7]).

We easily have $M_\varphi(H_0, H_1) = \varphi(H_0, H_1)_{\infty, \infty}$.

5.2 Description of interpolation orbits

The final results on description of interpolation orbits with respect to the Neumann–Schatten operator ideal are obtained in [24].

Recall that for any regular couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ and any $a \in H_0 + H_1$ we have $K(s, t, a, \{H_0, H_1\}) \in \Phi_0$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\{H_0, H_1\}$ and $\{F_0, F_1\}$ be regular Hilbert couples, $a \in H_0 + H_1$. Then for any $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$*

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \varphi(F_0, F_1)_{p_0, p_1},$$

where $\varphi(s, t) = K(s, t, a; \{H_0, H_1\})$.

For any $0 < p_0 < \infty$

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \varphi(F_0, F_1)_{p_0, \infty},$$

$$\text{Orb}(a, L(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \varphi(F_0, F_1)_{\infty, \infty}.$$

The latter identity actually coincides with the Sedaev theorem [29].

Corollary 5.1. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ and $0 < p_0, p_1, s_0, s_1 \leq \infty$, then for any $T \in S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_0)$ we have $T : \varphi(H_0, H_1)_{s_0, s_1} \rightarrow \varphi(F_0, F_1)_{t_0, t_1}$, where $1/t_0 = 1/s_0 + 1/p_0$, $1/t_1 = 1/s_1 + 1/p_1$.*

Corollary 5.2. *For any $a \in H_0 + H_1$ and for any $0 < p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$*

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \varphi(F_0, F_1)_{p_0, p_1}^\circ,$$

where $\varphi(s, t) = K(s, t, a; \{H_0, H_1\})$.

Recall that X° denotes the closure of $F_0 \cap F_1$ in X .

Corollary 5.3. *For any $a \in H_0 + H_1$*

$$\text{Orb}(a, L(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = M_\varphi(F_0, F_1),$$

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_\infty(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_\infty(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = M_\varphi^\circ(F_0, F_1),$$

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_2(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_2(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \varphi(F_0, F_1),$$

$$\text{Orb}(a, S_1(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_1(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)) = \Lambda_{\varphi^*}(F_0, F_1),$$

where $\varphi(s, t) = K(s, t, a; \{H_0, H_1\})$.

6. THE COMPLEX METHOD AND EMBEDDING FOR CROSS-NORM IDEALS

The results described in previous Section 4 can be naturally interpreted as embedding theorems for operator spaces. For instance Theorem 4.1 is an embedding

$$S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1) \subset L(\overline{H}_{\theta, r} \rightarrow \overline{F}_{\theta, q}).$$

We are going to consider the analogous embedding for more sophisticated constructions than the intersection of spaces.

Note that if a couple \overline{H} is regular then $S_{p_0}(H_0 \rightarrow F_0)$ and $S_{p_1}(H_1 \rightarrow F_1)$ form a Banach couple.

We begin with the complex method by A.P. Calderon, applied to $\{S_{p_0}(H_0), S_{p_1}(H_1)\}$. This situation was considered in [31], [15], [19].

Let H_θ , ($0 < \theta < 1$), be the unique Hilbert scale connecting H_0 and H_1 . If $H_0 = l_2(G_n)$ and $H_1 = l_2(2^{-n}G_n)$, then $H_\theta = l_2(2^{-n\theta}G_n)$.

The simplest approach is to represent the couple $\{S_{p_0}(H_0), S_{p_1}(H_1)\}$ as a couple of weighted matrix spaces (see Section 3)

$$\{S_{p_0}(l_2(G_n)), S_{p_1}(l_2(G_n))(2^{j-i})\}. \tag{6.1}$$

The complex method, applied to the couple $\{S_{p_0}(H), S_{p_1}(H)\}$, where $H_0 = H_1 = H$ is well studied and we have (see [8], [34], [32])

$$[S_{p_0}(H), S_{p_1}(H)]_\theta = S_{p_\theta}(H),$$

where $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$. Therefore (see [19]),

$$[S_{p_0}(l_2(G_n)), S_{p_1}(l_2(G_n))(2^{j-i})]_\theta = S_{p_\theta}(l_2(G_n))(2^{\theta(j-i)})$$

for any $0 < \theta < 1$. Thus we obtain the following

Theorem 6.1. *For any regular Hilbert couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ and $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$*

$$[S_{p_0}(H_0), S_{p_1}(H_1)]_\theta = S_{p_\theta}(H_\theta). \tag{6.2}$$

In the case of the couple $\{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}$ we obtain

Theorem 6.2. *For any regular Hilbert couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$*

$$[L(H_0), L(H_1)]^\theta = L(H_\theta),$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]^\theta$ is the second Calderon complex method.

By the way (6.2) implies that

$$S_{p_0}(H_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1) \subset S_{p_\theta}(H_\theta). \tag{6.3}$$

Moreover, $S_{p_\theta}(H_\theta)$ is an intermediate space between $S_{p_0}(H_0)$ and $S_{p_1}(H_1)$, i.e.,

$$S_{p_0}(H_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1) \subset S_{p_\theta}(H_\theta) \subset S_{p_0}(H_0) + S_{p_1}(H_1).$$

We also have

$$\|T\|_{S_{p_\theta}(H_\theta)} \leq \|T\|_{S_{p_0}(H_0)}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{S_{p_1}(H_1)}^\theta,$$

for any $S_{p_0}(H_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1)$, which follows from (6.2). This result was obtained first in [28].

It seems natural to suppose that $\Phi(H_\theta)$ are the most typical examples of interpolation space and trivially are examples of intermediate spaces. However as we see from the following theorem that this is not true for majority of Hilbert couples.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\overline{H} = \{H_0, H_1\}$ be generalized spectrally filling couple. Suppose that Φ is a cross-norm ideal. Then $\Phi(H_\theta)$ is an intermediate space between $S_{p_0}(H_0)$ and $S_{p_1}(H_1)$, if and only if $\Phi = S_{p_\theta}$.*

This theorem contradicts to the results of [10] that one-sided interpolation takes place for any Hilbert couple and for any the Neumann-Schatten ideals including nuclear and the Hilbert-Schmidt ideals. In particular it was alleged that

$$S_1(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow F_1) \subset S_1(H_\theta \rightarrow F_\theta), \tag{6.4}$$

what is impossible for generalized spectrally filling couples in view of Theorem 6.3.

However the embedding similar to (6.4) are possible and not only for trivial couples.

Denote by $\mu_n = 2^n$ for $n \geq 0$, and consider the Hilbert couple $\{l_2, l_2(2^{\mu_n})\}$, which has extremely sparse spectrum. Then

$$\Phi_0(H_0 \rightarrow H_0) \cap \Phi_1(H_1 \rightarrow H_1) \subset (\Phi_0 \cap \Phi_1)(H_\theta)$$

for any $0 < \theta < 1$ and any cross-norm ideals Φ_0, Φ_1 . Thus one-sided results take place for this couple.

Finally recall that one-sided results for compact operators take place, i.e.,

$$S_\infty(H_0 \rightarrow F_0) \cap L(H_1 \rightarrow F_1) \subset S_\infty(H_\theta \rightarrow F_\theta)$$

for any Hilbert couples and $0 < \theta < 1$ (see [6] for full references).

7. DESCRIPTION OF THE SUM AND THE INTERSECTION OF OPERATOR SPACES OF HILBERT COUPLES

7.1 Weighted space description

The simplest interpolation spaces for $\bar{X} = \{X_0, X_1\}$ are the sum and the intersection of the spaces X_0, X_1 . More or less appropriate description of the spaces

$$S_{p_0}(H_0) \cap S_{p_1}(H_1) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{p_0}(H_0) + S_{p_1}(H_1)$$

for $p_0 \neq p_1$ are unknown. We present here some facts for the case $p_0 = p_1$. In the case $p_0 = p_1 = \infty$ we consider the couple $\{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}$ (see [20], [21]).

Again we use the representation of $\{H_0, H_1\}$ as a couple of sequence spaces $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$.

Consider the space \mathfrak{M} of arbitrary matrices $\{T_{ij}\}$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $T_{ij} \in L(G_j \rightarrow G_i)$. Let us introduce $\mathcal{P}_+^k, \mathcal{P}_-^k, \mathcal{P}_0^k$, mapping this space \mathfrak{M} .

We put $\mathcal{P}_+^k(T)_{ij} = T_{ij}$ for $i < j + k$ and $\mathcal{P}_+^k(T)_{ij} = 0$ for $i \geq j + k$; $\mathcal{P}_-^k(T)_{ij} = T_{ij}$, for $i > j + k$ and $\mathcal{P}_-^k(T)_{ij} = 0$ for $i \leq j + k$; $\mathcal{P}_0^k(T)_{ij} = T_{ij}$, for $i = j + k$ and $\mathcal{P}_0^k(T)_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j + k$.

Thus $\mathcal{P}_-^k + \mathcal{P}_+^k + \mathcal{P}_0^k = I$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

These maps \mathcal{P}_\pm^k are triangular truncations. It's properties are well studied in $S_p(H)$ (see [1]). Their main property is the boundedness in any S_p for $1 < p < \infty$. It is also known that they are unbounded on the space of all bounded linear operators and the space of nuclear operators.

The maps \mathcal{P}_0^k are diagonal truncations and they are bounded in every S_p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and in the space of all bounded linear operators. Moreover,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_0^k(T)\|_{S_p} \leq \|T\|_{S_p},$$

for all matrices T and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{H} an analog of the Hilbert transform $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{P}_+^0 - \mathcal{P}_-^0$, and introduce the spaces

$$H^p(l_2(G_n)) = S_p(l_2(G_n)) \cap \mathcal{H}(S_p(l_2(G_n))),$$

for any $1 \leq p < \infty$, and

$$H^\infty(l_2(G_n)) = L(l_2(G_n)) \cap \mathcal{H}(L(l_2(G_n))).$$

The boundedness of \mathcal{H} in S_p implies $H^p(l_2(G_n)) = S_p(l_2(G_n))$ for $1 < p < \infty$.

Let us denote also

$$BMO(l_2(G_n)) = L(l_2(G_n)) + \mathcal{H}(L(l_2(G_n))).$$

It is easily seen that for the definition of these spaces we can use the projections \mathcal{P}_{\pm}^k instead of \mathcal{P}_{\pm}^0 . Thus

$$BMO(l_2(G_n)) = L(l_2(G_n)) + \mathcal{H}_k(L(l_2(G_n))),$$

where $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{P}_+^k - \mathcal{P}_-^k$, but these definitions lead to a family of equivalent norms on BMO

$$\|T\|_{BMO_k(l_2(G_n))} = \inf_{\substack{U_0, U_1 \\ T = U_1 + \mathcal{H}_k(U_2)}} \|U_1\|_{L(l_2(G_n))} + \|U_2\|_{L(l_2(G_n))}.$$

The spaces, which were introduced, are useful for the description of the intersection and the sum of operator spaces.

Theorem 7.1. For any $1 < p < \infty$

$$S_p(H_0) \cap S_p(H_1) = S_p(H_0)(\max(1, 2^{j-i})),$$

$$S_p(H_0) + S_p(H_1) = S_p(H_0)(\min(1, 2^{j-i})).$$

Since the space H_0 is identified with $l_2(G_n)$ and the space $S_p(H_0)$ with some space of matrices, we denote by $S_p(H_0)(w_{ij})$ the space of matrices $T_{ij} \in L(G_j \rightarrow G_i)$ such that the matrix $\{w_{ij}T_{ij}\} \in S_p(l_2(G_n))$.

For the description of the sum and the intersection in the extreme cases $p = 1, \infty$ we have to use the spaces introduced above.

Theorem 7.2. We have

$$S_1(H_0) \cap S_1(H_1) = H^1(l_2(G_n))(\max(1, 2^{j-i})),$$

$$L(H_0) \cap L(H_1) = H^\infty(l_2(G_n))(\max(1, 2^{j-i})),$$

$$L(H_0) + L(H_1) = BMO(l_2(G_n))(\min(1, 2^{j-i})).$$

The description of spaces from Theorem 7.2 can be used to settle the question posed by L.Maligranda (see [14]) concerning general properties of the complex method. The question was whether the space

$$[E_0 \cap E_1, E_0 + E_1]_{1/2}$$

is equal to $[E_0, E_1]_{1/2}$. It is known that these two spaces are equal for couples $\{E_0, E_1\}$ of Banach lattices, for example for Hilbert couples. However, this is not so for the couple $\{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}$, if $\{H_0, H_1\}$ is spectrally filling (see [25] for more examples). Let us outline that

$$[L(H_0) \cap L(H_1), L(H_0) + L(H_1)]^{1/2} \neq [L(H_0), L(H_1)]^{1/2}.$$

We have already seen in Section 6

$$[L(H_0), L(H_1)]^{1/2} = L(H_{1/2}).$$

From the other side the description above yields

$$[L(H_0) \cap L(H_1), L(H_0) + L(H_1)]^{1/2} = [H^\infty, BMO]^{1/2}(H_{1/2}).$$

The spaces $[H^\infty, BMO]^{1/2}(H_{1/2})$ and $L(H_{1/2})$ don't coincide because the first is invariant with respect to \mathcal{H} , but the second is not.

The estimation of the K-functional for couples of cross-norm ideals is also possible.

It turns out that

$$K(t, T; \{S_p(H_0), S_p(H_1)\}) \asymp \|T_{ij} \min(1, 2^{j-i}t)\|_{S_p(l_2(G_n))}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

The corresponding result for $p = \infty$ is not so "smooth"

$$K(2^k, T; \{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}) \asymp \|T_{ij} \min(1, 2^{k+j-i})\|_{BMO_k(H_0)}$$

since the norms $\|T\|_{BMO_k}$ themselves are defined again with the g.l.b.

7.2 Alternative descriptions of $L(H_0) + L(H_1)$

Let us denote by E_m , where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the orthogonal projection onto the linear span of G_j , ($j \leq m$) in $l_2(G_n)$ and in $l_2(2^{-n}G_n)$. Evidently $E_m : \{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\} \rightarrow \{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$.

Theorem 7.3. [2] *The space $L(H_0) + L(H_1)$ consists of $T \in L(H_0 \cap H_1 \rightarrow H_0 + H_1)$ such that*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|E_n T(I - E_n)\|_{L(H_0)} + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(I - E_n) T E_n\|_{L(H_1)} < \infty.$$

For any $T \in L(H_0) + L(H_1)$

$$K(2^p, T, \{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}) \asymp \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|E_{n+p} T(I - E_n)\|_{L(H_0)} + 2^p \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(I - E_{n+p}) T E_n\|_{L(H_1)}.$$

Theorem 7.4. [27] *The space $L(H_0) + L(H_1)$ consists of $T \in L(H_0 \cap H_1 \rightarrow H_0 + H_1)$ such that*

$$\sup_{x \in H_0 \cap H_1} K(1/\|x\|_{H_0}, 1/\|x\|_{H_1}, T(x), \{H_0, H_1\})$$

is finite, and for any $T \in L(H_0) + L(H_1)$

$$K(s, t, T, \{L(H_0), L(H_1)\}) \asymp \sup_{x \in H_0 \cap H_1} K(s/\|x\|_{H_0}, t/\|x\|_{H_1}, T(x), \{H_0, H_1\}).$$

This theorem is based on the description of the minimal operator ideal mapping Hilbert couple. It is easily seen that the minimal ideal consists of $T \in L(H_0) \cap L(H_1)$, such that $T = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j$ where $T_j \in L(H_0) \cap L(H_1)$ are rank-one operators, provided

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_j\|_{L(H_0) \cap L(H_1)} < \infty.$$

This minimal ideal is also called the ideal of coherently nuclear operators and is denoted by $CohN\{H_0, H_1\}$.

Theorem 7.5. [27] *For any Hilbert couple*

$$CohN\{H_0, H_1\} = S_1(H_0) \cap S_1(H_1).$$

8. THE LIONS-PEETRE CONSTRUCTION FOR COUPLES OF NEUMANN-SCHATTEN IDEALS

We can see from Theorem 6.3 that for the description of interpolation spaces, which are not complex method spaces, we need to introduce some new classes of operator spaces.

Let a Hilbert couple $\{H_0, H_1\}$ be identified with the couple of vector-valued sequence spaces

$$\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}.$$

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $0 < q \leq \infty$. We introduce the matrix space

$$M_{pq}(H_\theta) = \{T_{ij} : \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (2^{k\theta} (\sum_{\substack{j=-\infty \\ k=j-i}}^{\infty} \|T_{ij}\|_{S_p(G_j \rightarrow G_i)}^p)^{1/p})^q < \infty\}$$

with natural corrections in case of p or $q = \infty$.

For brevity denote $M_{pp}(H_\theta) = M_p(H_\theta)$. These matrix spaces are close to the Neumann-Schatten ideals.

Indeed, $M_2(H_\theta) = S_2(H_\theta)$ for any θ . For $p \neq 2$ there are simple inclusion maps $M_p(H_\theta) \subset S_p(H_\theta)$, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and $S_p(H_\theta) \subset M_p(H_\theta)$, if $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any θ .

The interaction of scales $M_p(H_\theta)$ and $S_p(H_\theta)$ turns out to be deeper (see [21]).

Lemma 8.1. For any $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$M_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0}) \cap M_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1}) \subset S_p(H_\theta),$$

where $\theta = (1 - \mu)\theta_0 + \mu\theta_1$, $1/p = (1 - \mu)/p_0 + \mu/p_1$ for $0 < \mu < 1$, and

$$\|T\|_{S_p(H_\theta)} \leq C \|T\|_{M_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0})}^{1-\mu} \cdot \|T\|_{M_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1})}^\mu.$$

This lemma implies that the reiteration theorem for the Lions-Peetre construction can be applied, and we obtain

Theorem 8.1. For any $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1$ and $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$

$$(S_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0}), S_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1}))_{\eta,q} = (M_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0}), M_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1}))_{\eta,q},$$

where $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$.

Theorem 8.2. For any $\theta_0 \neq \theta_1$ and $1 \leq p, p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$

$$(S_p(H_{\theta_0}), S_p(H_{\theta_1}))_{\eta,q} = M_{pq}(H_\theta),$$

where $\theta = (1 - \eta)\theta_0 + \eta\theta_1$ and any $0 < q \leq \infty$. If $1/q = (1 - \eta)/p_0 + \eta/p_1$, then

$$(S_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0}), S_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1}))_{\eta,q} = M_q(H_\theta).$$

The spaces $(S_{p_0}(H_{\theta_0}), S_{p_1}(H_{\theta_1}))_{\eta,q}$ can be also described for "non-diagonal" cases, i.e.,

$$1/q \neq (1 - \eta)/p_0 + \eta/p_1,$$

but it demands a consideration of non-commutative Lorentz spaces L_{pq} on a gauge space with a measure on projections (see [30], [21]).

Note that for some p the matrix spaces $M_p(H_\theta)$ have an operator description.

Indeed,

$$M_\infty(H_\theta) = L(l_1(2^{-n\theta}G_n) \rightarrow l_\infty(2^{-n\theta}G_n)).$$

Recall (see [3]) that

$$(l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n))_{\theta,p} = l_p(2^{-n\theta}G_n),$$

therefore

$$M_\infty(H_\theta) = L((H_0, H_1)_{\theta,1} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)_{\theta,\infty}),$$

and we obtain

$$(L(H_0), L(H_1))_{\theta,\infty} = L((H_0, H_1)_{\theta,1} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)_{\theta,\infty})$$

by Theorem 8.2.

Analogously, since

$$M_1(H_\theta) = \{T_{ij} : \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{(j-i)\theta} \|T_{ij}\|_{S_1(G_j \rightarrow G_i)} < \infty\}$$

we obtain

$$M_1(H_\theta) = N(c_0(2^{-n\theta}G_n) \rightarrow l_1(2^{-n\theta}G_n)),$$

where $N(X \rightarrow Y)$ is the space of all nuclear operators mapping X to Y . Hence

$$(S_1(H_0), S_1(H_1))_{\theta,1} = N((H_0, H_1)_{\theta,\infty}^o \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)_{\theta,1}).$$

The equality

$$(S_1(H_0), S_1(H_1))_{\theta,1} = M_1(H_\theta)$$

implies the embedding

$$S_1(H_0) \cap S_1(H_1) \subset N((H_0, H_1)_{\theta,\infty} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)_{\theta,1}),$$

which slightly improves the previous result in this direction (see Theorem 4.1), which said that

$$S_1(H_0) \cap S_1(H_1) \subset L((H_0, H_1)_{\theta,\infty} \rightarrow (H_0, H_1)_{\theta,1}).$$

9. INTERPOLATION OF IDEAL PROPERTIES IN INTERPOLATION HILBERT SPACES

In this Section we discuss two-sided interpolation of cross-norm ideals in general interpolation Hilbert space for a Hilbert couple.

Recall that if a Hilbert couple is represented in the form $\{l_2(G_n), l_2(2^{-n}G_n)\}$ then any interpolation Hilbert space between H_0 and H_1 can be identified with the space $l_2(\varphi(1, 2^{-n})G_n)$, where $\varphi(s, t)$ is an interpolation function. If also note that $T \in S_2(l_2(w_n G_n))$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|w_i w_j^{-1} T_{ij}\|_{S_2(G_j \rightarrow G_i)}^2 < \infty,$$

then the following interpolation theorem becomes almost evident (see [18]).

Theorem 9.1. *If $T \in S_2(H_0) \cap S_2(H_1)$, then $T \in S_2(H)$, where H is an arbitrary interpolation Hilbert space between H_0 and H_1 .*

The factorization Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 9.1 imply

Theorem 9.2. *If $T \in S_1(H_0) \cap S_1(H_1)$, then $T \in S_1(H)$, where H is an arbitrary interpolation Hilbert space between H_0 and H_1 .*

The interpolation of arbitrary ideal property in any interpolation Hilbert space is not established yet, but for a rather large class of interpolation spaces it is already done.

Recall that interpolation space H is called R -interpolation space, where $R(s, t)$ is a function of two positive variables, if for any $T \in L(H_0) \cap L(H_1)$ we have

$$\|T\|_{L(H \rightarrow H)} \leq R(\|T\|_{L(H_0 \rightarrow H_0)}, \|T\|_{L(H_1 \rightarrow H_1)}).$$

Definition 9.1. *If the function $R(s, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ for any t , and $R(s, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ for any s , then R -interpolation space H is called a proper interpolation space between H_0 and H_1 .*

The proper interpolation spaces are remarkable by their property of one-sided interpolation of compactness (see [6]), i.e., we have

Theorem 9.3. *If $T \in S_\infty(H_0) \cap L(H_1)$ or $T \in S_\infty(H_1) \cap L(H_0)$, then $T \in S_\infty(H)$, where H is any proper interpolation Hilbert space.*

For interpolation ideals (see Section 2) we have the following

Theorem 9.4. *If $T \in J(H_0) \cap J(H_1)$, where J is any interpolation ideal, then $T \in J(H)$ for any proper interpolation space H between H_0 and H_1 .*

REFERENCES

- [1] Arazy J. Some remarks on interpolation theorem and the boundedness of the triangular projection in unitary matrix space / J. Arazy // *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*. — 1978. — V. 1. — P.453–495, Addendum. — 1979. — V. 2. — P. 600.
- [2] Belomytceva E.G. Real interpolation method for couples of the Neumann algebras / E.G. Belomytceva, V.I. Ovchinnikov // *Works of the Faculty of Mathematics VGU. (New series)*. — 2001. — V. 5. — P. 3–15.
- [3] Bergh J., Löfström J. *Interpolation Spaces. An introduction*. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976. 207 p.
- [4] Brudnyi Ju., Krugliak N. *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces, I*. Amsterdam: North Holland, 1991. 718 p.
- [5] Brudnyi Ju., Steinberg A. Calderon Couples of Lipschitz Spaces // *Journal of Funct. Analysis*. — 1995. — V. 131. — P. 459–498.
- [6] Cobos F., Kühn T., Schonbek T. One-sided Compactness Results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo Functors // *Journal of Funct. Analysis*. — 1992. — V. 106. — P. 274–313.
- [7] Dmitriev V.I., Krein S.G., Ovchinnikov V.I. Fundamentals of the theory of interpolation. In: *Geometry of Linear Spaces and Operator Theory*. — Jaroslavl, 1977. — P. 31–74.
- [8] Gohberg I.C., Krein M.G. *An introduction to the Theory of Linear Non-selfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Spaces*. — Moscow: Nauka, 1965. 448 p.
- [9] Gohberg I.C., Krein M.G. *Theory and Applications of Volterra operators on Hilbert spaces*. — Moscow: Nauka, 1967. 508 p.
- [10] Favini A. Sulla interpolazione di operatori compatti // *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*. — 1971. — V. 46. — P. 19–47.
- [11] Janson J. Minimal and maximal methods in interpolation // *Journal of Funct. Analysis*. — 1981. — V. 44. — P. 50–73.
- [12] Krein S.G., Kuchment P.A. A certain approach to the interpolation problem for linear operators // *Trudy Nauchn.-Issled. Inst. Math. Voronezh State University*. — 1971. — V. 3. — P. 54–60.
- [13] Maurey B. Théorèmes de factorization pour les operateurs linéaires á valeurs dans les espaces L_p // *Astérisque. Société Mathématique de France*. — 1974. — no. 11. 163 p.
- [14] Maligranda L. Interpolation between sum and intersection of Banach spaces // *J. Approx. Theory*. — 1986. — V. 47. — P. 42–53.
- [15] Nikolova L.I. On interpolation in two families of symmetrically normed ideals of compact operators // *Comptes rendus de l'Academie Bulgar des Science*. — 1988. — V. 41, no. 12. — P. 15–18.
- [16] Ovchinnikov V.I. Interpolation of operators for the class \mathfrak{S}_p in Hilbert pairs // *Mathematical Notes*. — 1980. — V. 27. — P. 273–282.
- [17] Ovchinnikov V.I. The Method of Orbits in Interpolation Theory // *Math. Reports*. — 1984. — V. 1, part 2. — P. 349–511.
- [18] Ovchinnikov V.I. An optimal interpolation theorem for quasi-Banach spaces l_p with weights and for operators from Neumann-Schatten classes // *Mathematical Notes*. — 1993. — V. 53, no. 2. — P. 94–99.
- [19] Ovchinnikov V.I. Interpolation for cross-norm ideals of operators mapping different Hilbert spaces // *Functional. Anal. and appl.* — 1994. — V. 28, no. 3. — P. 80–82.
- [20] Ovchinnikov V.I. Non-commutative analogs of smooth function spaces // *Abstracts. International conference "Functional Spaces, Approximation Theory, Nonlinear Analysis"*, devoted to the 90-th Anniversary of Academician S. M. Nikolskii. — April 27 – May 3, 1995. — MIRAN, Moscow, 1995. — P. 208–209.

- [21] Ovchinnikov V.I. Lions–Peetre construction for couples of operator spaces // Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics. — 1995. — V. 3, no. 3. — P. 407–410.
- [22] V. I. Ovchinnikov, Interpolation orbits in couples of L_p spaces // C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I. — 2002. — V. 334. — P. 881–884.
- [23] Ovchinnikov V.I. Interpolation orbits in couples of Lebesgue spaces // Functional Analysis and appl. — 2005. — V. 38, no. 1. — P. 56–68.
- [24] Ovchinnikov V.I. The quasi-norm Neumann–Schatten ideals and embedding theorems for the generalized Lions–Peetre method of means // Algebra and Analysis. — 2010. — V. 22, no. 4. — P. 214–231.
- [25] Ovchinnikov V.I. On one hypothesis for the complex interpolation method // Trudy molodyh uchenyh physiko-matematicheskogo fakulteta kurskogo gos. ped. universiteta. Kursk: Kursk State Pedagogical University Pbl., 2001. — P. 5–9.
- [26] Ovchinnikov V.I. Matrix extension, coherently nuclear operators and non-commutative BMO spaces // Doklady Mathematics. — 1998. — V. 363, no. 1. — P. 17–19.
- [27] Ovchinnikov V.I. Coherently nuclear operators in Hilbert spaces // Mathematical Notes. — 1998. — V. 63, no. 5. — P. 764–769.
- [28] Markov K.Z., Rotfeld S.Ju. Classes of completely continuous operators in Hilbert scales // Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. — 1971. — V. 7. — P. 149–150.
- [29] Sedaev A.A. The description of interpolation spaces for the couple $(L_{\alpha_0}^p, L_{\alpha_1}^p)$ and related topics // Soviet Mathematics. Doklady. — 1973. — V. 209, no. 4. — P. 798–800.
- [30] Segal I.E. A non-commutative extension of abstract integration // Ann. Math. — 1953. — V. 57. — P. 401–457.
- [31] Vignati M. Some results on interpolation of Schatten ideals // Boll. Un. Math. Ital. — 1988. — V. 2-A. — P. 277–284.
- [32] Pietch A. Interpolationsfunktoren, Folgenideale und Operatorenideale // Czechoslovak Math J. — 1971. — V. 21. — P. 644–652.
- [33] Peetre J., Sparr G. Interpolation of normed Abelian group // Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. — 1972. — V. 92. — P. 217–262.
- [34] Triebel H. Zur Interpolation von Normidealen in Hilberträumen // Wiss. Zeitschr. Univ. Jena, Math.-Naturwiss. Reine. — 1969. — V. 18. — P. 263–267.

Овчинников Владимир Иванович, профессор кафедры математического моделирования математического факультета Воронежского государственного университета, доктор физико-математических наук, профессор, г. Воронеж, Российская Федерация
E-mail: vio@thebat.net

Ovchinnikov Vladimir Ivanivich, professor of the department of Mathematical modelling of the Mathematical faculty of the Voronezh State University, Doctor of Physics and Mathematics, Professor, Voronezh, Russian Federation
E-mail: vio@thebat.net