

UDK 519.216; 514.8

# VISCOUS HYDRODYNAMICS THROUGH STOCHASTIC PERTURBATIONS OF FLOWS OF PERFECT FLUIDS ON GROUPS OF DIFFEOMORPHISMS\*

© 2001 г. Yu. E. Gliklikh

*Voronezh State University*

We construct some stochastic perturbations of the curves on groups of  $H^s$  ( $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 1$ ) Sobolev diffeomorphisms of a flat  $n$ -dimensional torus, describing the motion of diffuse matter or perfect incompressible fluid, such that their expectations describe the dynamics of corresponding viscous fluids. Existence of classical solutions for initial value problem of Burgers and Navier-Stokes equations for  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 2$  is proved (for  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 1$  — of some sort of generalized solutions).

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper we construct some special stochastic perturbations of the curves on the groups of diffeomorphisms, describing the motion of perfect fluids, such that the expectations of obtained processes describe the motion of viscous fluids. This yields existence of solutions of initial value problem for Burgers and Navier-Stokes equations with some sort of external forces (in particular, with zero forces) and clarifies relations with solutions of Hopf and Euler equations, respectively, with the same initial data. At the end of the paper we summarize the results so that the reader can find the exact formulations there.

This approach to hydrodynamics belongs to the direction suggested for perfect fluids by Arnold [1] and developed by Ebin and Marsden [5]. In [6] – [8] (see also detailed explanation in [9] and [10]) a certain stochastic analogue of the second Newton's law was discovered that expanded this geometrical approach to viscous fluids. However there was a problem to find stochastic processes satisfying the stochastic Newton's law that was investigated by various methods (see, e.g., [3]). In [4] we elaborated the idea to construct such processes via stochastic perturbations of deterministic curves. Here this idea is seriously modified so that it allows us to cover the cases mentioned above.

We consider the fluid motion on the flat  $n$ -dimensional torus  $\mathcal{T}^n$  (the quotient of  $R^n$  with respect to integral lattice where the Riemannian metric is inherited from  $R^n$ ). The natural configuration

space for fluids, admitting compressibility, is the Hilbert manifold  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  of Sobolev  $H^s$ -diffeomorphisms,  $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ . There is also a group structure on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  with respect to the composition. The set  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  of  $H^s$ -diffeomorphisms preserving the volume is a submanifold and subgroup in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . This is the natural configuration space for incompressible fluids. The details of geometry on those groups can be found in [5], [9] and [10]. In [4] the presentation of this material is adapted specially to the groups of diffeomorphisms of flat torus. Here we describe the preliminaries rather briefly paying main attention to some new points absent in [4].

The tangent space  $T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  at  $e = id$  is the set of all  $H^s$ -vector fields on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  and  $T_e\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is the set of all divergence-free  $H^s$ -vector fields. In  $T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (and so in  $T_e\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ) one introduces the  $L_2$  scalar product denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

The right-hand translation  $R_f : \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ,  $R_f \circ \theta = \theta \circ f$ ,  $\theta, f \in \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , is  $C^\infty$ -smooth and thus one may consider right-invariant vector fields on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Notice that the tangent to right translation takes the form:  $TR_f X = X \circ f$  for  $X \in T\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . For  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  we have analogous properties.

The left-hand translation  $L_f : \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ,  $L_f \circ \theta = f \circ \theta$ ,  $\theta, f \in \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , is not smooth but it is continuous and we shall use this property below.

A right-invariant vector field  $X$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  generated by a vector  $X \in T_e\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is  $C^k$ -smooth iff the vector field  $X$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is  $H^{s+k}$ -smooth (for

The research is partially supported by Grant 99-01-00819 from RFBR and Grant 99-00559 from INTAS

$\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  we have the same property). This fact is a consequence of the so-called  $\omega$ -lemma (see [5]) and it is valid also for more complicated fields. For example, if a tensor (or any other) field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth, the corresponding right-invariant field on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (and on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ) is  $C^\infty$ -smooth as well.

Also by right-hand translations of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  we determine the scalar products in all tangent spaces and so obtain the so-called weak Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . This metric admits the Levi-Civita connection and covariant derivative in the following way. Denote by  $K$  the connector of flat connection on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . For vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and for a vector field  $X(t)$  along a certain smooth curve  $g(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  we define the covariant derivatives  $\bar{\nabla}_X Y$  and  $\frac{\bar{D}}{dt} X(t)$ , respectively, by formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\nabla}_X Y &= K \circ TY(X), \\ \frac{\bar{D}}{dt} X(t) &= K \circ \frac{d}{dt} X(t).\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Denote by  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$  the connection on  $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$  corresponding to  $\bar{\nabla}$ .

The geodesic spray  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$  of  $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$  is the vector field  $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  of the form

$$\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(X) = \mathcal{Z} \circ X \quad (2)$$

for  $X \in T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the geodesic spray of the flat connection on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . From (2) one can easily see that by the construction  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$  is  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ -right-invariant and by  $\omega$ -lemma it is  $C^\infty$ -smooth on  $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth on  $T\mathcal{T}^n$ .

Denote by  $P_e : T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow E^s \oplus \ker \Delta = T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ -orthogonal projection. Consider the map  $P : T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)|_{\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)} \rightarrow T\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  determined for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  by the formula

$$P_\eta = TR_\eta \circ P_e \circ TR_\eta^{-1}.$$

It is obvious that  $P$  is  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ -right-invariant. There is an important and rather complicated result (see [5]) that  $P$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth. Since by Hodge decomposition the orthogonal complement to  $T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(M)$  consists of gradients, for every  $Y \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(M)$  we have

$$P_e(Y) = Y - \text{grad} p \quad (3)$$

where  $p$  is a certain  $H^{s+1}$ -function on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  unique to within the constants (see also [15]).

Since  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is a submanifold in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , there is a corresponding standard connection  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  whose connector  $\tilde{K}$  and the covariant derivatives  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\frac{\tilde{D}}{dt}$  are described by the formulae

$$\tilde{K} = P \circ K,$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = P \circ \bar{\nabla}_X Y = P \circ K \circ TY(X) = \tilde{K} \circ TY(X)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tilde{D}}{dt} X(t) &= P \circ \frac{\bar{D}}{dt} X(t) = P \circ K \circ \frac{d}{dt} X(t) = \\ &= \tilde{K} \circ \frac{d}{dt} X(t)\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where  $X, Y$  are vector fields on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and  $X(t)$  is a vector field along a certain smooth curve  $g(t)$  in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ .

The geodesic spray  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is a vector field on  $T\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  of the form

$$\mathcal{S}(X) = TP(\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \circ X), \quad X \in T\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n). \quad (5)$$

Since  $P$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$  are  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ -right-invariant and  $C^\infty$ -smooth on  $T\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , it evidently follows from (5) that so is  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Let  $F(t, m)$  be an  $H^s$ -vector field (a divergence-free  $H^s$ -vector field) on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . Denote by  $\bar{F}(t, \eta)$  ( $\tilde{F}(t, \eta)$ , respectively) the corresponding right-invariant vector field on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ). Consider the equations of second Newton's law

$$\frac{\bar{D}}{dt} \dot{g}(t) = \bar{F} \quad (6)$$

on  $\mathcal{D}^s$  and

$$\frac{\tilde{D}}{dt} \dot{\gamma}(t) = \tilde{F} \quad (7)$$

on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let the vector field  $F(t, m)$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  at any  $t$  belong to  $H^{s+1}$  and be continuous in  $t$  with respect to  $H^s$  topology.*

(i) *For any vector  $v_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  there exists a unique solution  $g(t)$  of (6) with initial conditions  $g(0) = e$  and  $\dot{g}(0) = v_0$  for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $v_0$ .*

(ii) *For any vector  $\kappa_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  there exists a unique solution of (7) with initial conditions  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \kappa_0$  for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $\kappa_0$ .*

The proof (see [5]) is based on the representation the velocity  $\dot{g}(t)$  of solution  $g(t)$  of (6) as an integral curve of the vector field

$$\bar{\mathcal{Z}} + \bar{F}^l \quad (8)$$

on  $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and the velocity  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  of solution  $\gamma(t)$  of (7) as an integral curve of the vector field

$$\mathcal{S} + \tilde{F}^l. \quad (9)$$

on  $T\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Those vector fields are at least  $C^1$ -smooth since  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are  $C^\infty$ -smooth while  $\bar{F}^l$  and  $\tilde{F}^l$  are  $C^1$ -smooth in  $g$  and continuous in  $t$ .

**Remark 2.** It is well-known that for continuous vector fields on infinite-dimensional spaces (manifolds) integral curves may not exist. Nevertheless the above conditions of belonging  $F$  to  $H^{s+1}$  for any  $t$  (that provides  $C^1$ -smoothness to  $\bar{F}^l$  and  $\tilde{F}^l$ ) can be reduced. We refer the reader to [12] where the existence of integral curves on groups of diffeomorphisms was proved for vector fields with Caratheodory type conditions under some additional assumptions that the field is condensing ( $k$ -set contraction) with respect to a certain measure of non-compactness.

Consider the vectors  $v(t) = TR_{g(t)^{-1}}\dot{g}(t) \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and  $\kappa(t) = TR_{\gamma(t)^{-1}}\dot{\gamma}(t) \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  obtained by right-hand translations of velocity vectors  $\dot{g}(t) \in T_{g(t)}\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  to solution  $g(t)$  of (6) and  $\dot{\gamma}(t)$  to solution  $\gamma(t)$  of (7) at any specified  $t$ , respectively.

It is shown in [5] (see also [9] and [10]) that  $v(t) \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  satisfying the equation of diffuse matter

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t, m) + \nabla_{v(t, m)}v(t, m) = 0; \quad (10)$$

and  $\kappa(t) \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is a divergence-free vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  satisfying the Euler equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\kappa(t, m) + \nabla_{\kappa(t, m)}\kappa(t, m) - \text{grad}p = 0. \quad (11)$$

Thus the curves  $g(t)$  and  $\gamma(t)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , respectively, are the flows of diffuse matter and of perfect incompressible fluid, respectively, on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . For  $n = 2$  the solutions  $\kappa(t)$  of (11) and  $\gamma(t)$  of (7) exist for  $t \in [0, +\infty)$ . This follows from classical results by Kato.

Introduce the operators:

(i)  $B : T\mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow R^n$ , the projection onto the second factor in  $T\mathcal{T}^n = \mathcal{T}^n \times R^n$ ;

(ii)  $A(m) : R^n \rightarrow T_m\mathcal{T}^n$ , the converse to  $B$  linear isomorphism from  $R^n$  onto the tangent space to  $\mathcal{T}^n$  at  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$ .

(iii) For  $g \in \mathcal{D}^s$  and  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$  consider the isomorphism  $Q_g : T_m\mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow T_{g(m)}\mathcal{T}^n$  of the form  $Q_g = A(g(m)) \circ B$ .

Notice that for the natural orthonormal frame  $b$  in  $R^n$  we have an orthonormal frame  $A_m(b)$  in  $T_m\mathcal{T}^n$ , the field of frames  $A(b)$  on  $T\mathcal{T}^n$  consists of frames inherited from the constant frame  $b$ . Thus for a fixed vector  $X \in R^n$  the vector field  $A(X)$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is constant (has constant coordinates with respect to  $A(b)$ ) and in particular  $A(X)$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth and divergence-free since such is the constant vector field  $X$  on  $R^n$ . So,  $A$  may be considered as a map  $A : R^n \rightarrow T_e\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n) \subset T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ .

Consider the map  $\bar{A} : \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \times R^n \rightarrow T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  such that  $\bar{A}_e : R^n \rightarrow T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is equal to  $A$ , and for every  $g \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the map  $\bar{A}_g : R^n \rightarrow T_g\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is obtained from  $\bar{A}_e$  by means of the right-translation:

$$\bar{A}_g(X) = R_g \circ A_e(X) = (A \circ g)(X). \quad (12)$$

Since  $A$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth, it follows from  $\omega$ -lemma that  $\bar{A}$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth jointly in  $X \in R^n$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . In particular, the restriction  $\bar{A} : \mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n) \times R^n \rightarrow T\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth and the right-invariant vector field  $\bar{A}(X)$  is  $C^\infty$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  for every  $X \in R^n$ .

By the construction, for an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and a vector  $X \in T_f\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the vector  $Q_gX$  belongs to  $T_g\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . In particular,  $Q_eX \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Notice that even for  $f \in \mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the operator  $Q_e$  does not send  $T_f\mathcal{D}^s_\mu$  into  $T_e\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$  however  $P_eQ_e(T_f\mathcal{D}^s_\mu) = T_e\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(\mathcal{T}^n)$ .

Describe the action of  $Q_g$  on tangent vectors to  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  considered as maps and compare it with the right translation  $TR_g$ . Recall that  $X \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , i.e., the vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ , sends the point  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$  into the vector  $(m, X(m))$  where the first component denotes the point in  $\mathcal{T}^n$  where the second (vector) component is applied. The right translation  $TR_g$  on  $T\mathcal{D}^s\mathcal{T}^n$  sends the latter into  $(g(m), X(g(m)))$  while  $Q_g(m, X(m)) = (g(m), X(m))$ .

**Theorem 3.** ([11], see also [4])  $Q_g : T_g\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow T_g\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is the parallel translation in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  with respect to  $\bar{H}$ .

Indeed, since the connectors on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  and on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  coincide, so do the parallel translations.

## 2. Mean Derivatives

Consider a stochastic process  $\xi(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, l]$ , defined on a certain probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , taking values in a separable Hilbert space  $F$  and such that  $\xi(t)$  is an  $L^1$ -random variable for all  $t$ . The following particular case will play an important role below:  $F = R^n$  and

$$\xi(t) = \xi_0 + \int_0^t \beta(s)ds + \sigma w(t) \quad (13)$$

where  $w(t)$  is a Wiener process and  $\sigma > 0$  is a constant.

Denote by  $\mathcal{N}_t^\xi$  the "present" ("now")  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\xi(t)$ , i.e., the minimal  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\xi(t)$  at the specified  $t$  is measurable with respect to it. We shall suppose that  $\mathcal{N}_t^\xi$  is complete (includes all sets of zero probability). Denote the conditional expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{N}_t^\xi$  by  $E_t^\xi$ . The following notions were introduced by Nelson (see, e.g., [16] – [18]).

**Definition 4.** (i) The forward mean derivative  $D\xi(t)$  of the process  $\xi(t)$  at the moment  $t$  is an  $L^1$ -random variable of the form

$$D\xi(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{\xi(t + \Delta t) - \xi(t)}{\Delta t} \right). \quad (14)$$

(ii) The backward mean derivative  $D_*\xi(t)$  of  $\xi(t)$  at  $t$  is an  $L^1$ -random variable

$$D_*\xi(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{\xi(t) - \xi(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (15)$$

Here limits are assumed to exist in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and  $\Delta t \downarrow 0$  means that  $\Delta t$  tends to zero from above.

Denote by  $\check{Y}(t, x)$  and  $\check{Y}_*(t, x)$  the measurable vector fields on  $F$  such that  $D\xi(t) = \check{Y}(t, \xi(t))$  and  $D_*\xi(t) = \check{Y}_*(t, \xi(t))$ , respectively. The existence of those  $\check{Y}(t, x)$  and  $\check{Y}_*(t, x)$ , called regressions of  $D\xi(t)$  and  $D_*\xi(t)$ , respectively, with respect to  $\xi(t)$ , follows from routine facts of Probability Theory (see [19]).

Mean derivatives of Definition 4 are particular cases of the notions determined as follows. Let  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  be  $L^1$ -stochastic processes in  $F$  defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Introduce  $y$ -forward derivative of  $x(t)$  by the formula

$$D^y x(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^y \left( \frac{x(t + \Delta t) - x(t)}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (16)$$

and  $y$ -backward derivative of  $x(t)$  by the formula

$$D_*^y x(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^y \left( \frac{x(t) - x(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (17)$$

where, of course, the limits are assumed to exist in  $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

**Remark 5.** Let  $f : F \rightarrow F_1$  be a smooth map. Notice that since the value of mean derivative depends on the "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra of a process, the tangent map  $Tf$  sends the derivatives of a process  $\eta(t)$  into those of  $\xi(t) = f(\eta(t))$  only in the following form:  $Tf(D\eta(t)) = D^\eta(\xi(t))$  and  $Tf(D^\xi\eta(t)) = D\xi(t)$ , but generally speaking  $Tf(D\eta(t)) \neq D\xi(t)$ . Similar formulae hold for backward derivative:  $Tf(D_*\eta(t)) = D_*^\eta(\xi(t))$  and  $Tf(D_*^\xi\eta(t)) = D_*\xi(t)$ , but generally speaking  $Tf(D_*\eta(t)) \neq D_*\xi(t)$ .

**Lemma 6.** (see [9], [10]) For  $t \in (0, l]$  the equality  $D_*w(t) = \frac{w(t)}{t}$  holds.

**Lemma 7.** (see [9], [10]) The integral  $\int_0^t \frac{w(s)}{s} ds$  exists almost surely for all  $t \in [0, l]$ .

Introduce the process  $w_*(t) = -\int_0^t \frac{w(s)}{s} ds + w(t)$ .

**Lemma 8.** (see [9], [10])  $D_*^w w_*(t) = 0$ .

Note that the above equality is not valid if  $D_*^w$  is replaced by  $D_*$ . For example, it is shown in [13] that  $w_*(t)$  is a Wiener process with respect to its own "past" filtration.

**Lemma 9.** For the process

$$w^*(t) = E_t^w w_*(t). \quad (18)$$

the relation  $D_*w^*(t) = 0$  holds.

**Proof.** By the construction the "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}_t^{w^*}$  of  $w^*(t)$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra in  $\mathcal{N}_t^w$ . Then

$D_*w^*(t) = E_t^{w^*} D_*^w w^*(t) = E_t^{w^*} D_*^w E_t^w w_*(t)$ . By Lemma 8.21 of [9] (Lemma 20.5 of [10])  $D_*^w E_t^w w_*(t) = D_*^w w_*(t)$  while the latter is equal to zero by Lemma 8. Q.E.D.

Let  $V(t, x)$  be a  $C^2$ -smooth vector field on  $F$ , and  $\xi(t)$  be a stochastic process in  $F$ .

**Definition 10.** The forward  $DV(t, \xi(t))$  and the backward  $D_*V(t, \xi(t))$  mean derivatives of  $V$  along  $\xi(\cdot)$  at  $t$  are the  $L^1$ -limits of the form

$$\begin{aligned} DV(t, \xi(t)) &= \\ &= \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{V(t + \Delta t, \xi(t + \Delta t)) - V(t, \xi(t))}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (19) \\ D_*V(t, \xi(t)) &= \end{aligned}$$



$$= \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{V(t, \xi(t)) - V(t - \Delta t, \xi(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t} \right) \quad (20)$$

Consider the regressions of  $DV(t, \xi(t))$  and  $D_*V(t, \xi(t))$  with respect to  $\xi(t)$  denoted by  $DV_{\xi(t)}$  and  $D_*V_{\xi(t)}$ , respectively.

**Lemma 11.** *For the process (13) in  $R^n$  the following formulas hold*

$$DV_{\xi(t)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V + (\check{Y} \cdot \nabla) V + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 V, \quad (21)$$

$$D_*V_{\xi(t)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V + (\check{Y}_* \cdot \nabla) V - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 V, \quad (22)$$

where  $\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n})$ ,  $\nabla^2$  is the Laplacian, the dot denotes the scalar product in  $R^n$  and  $\check{Y}(t, X)$  and  $\check{Y}_*(t, X)$  are the above-mentioned regressions of  $D\xi(t)$  and  $D_*\xi(t)$  with respect to  $\xi(t)$ .

Definition of mean derivatives on manifolds requires some additional constructions involving connections in order to get well-posed (covariant) notions. We refer the reader to [18], [9] and [10] for details. Here we present a variant specially adapted to the groups of diffeomorphisms, a very simple case of the general situation.

For the group  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the definitions of mean derivatives by formulae (14) and (15) are well-posed and so need no changes since the operations of addition and subtraction are inherited on this group from  $\mathcal{T}^n$  and so from  $R^n$ . In order to distinguish the mean derivatives on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  from those on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  we denote the mean forward (mean backward) derivatives for the former by  $\bar{D}$  ( $\bar{D}_*$ , respectively) and keep the notations  $D$  ( $D_*$ , respectively) for  $\mathcal{T}^n$ .

For the process  $V(t, \xi(t))$  with values in  $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  consider  $\bar{D}^\xi V(t, \xi(t))$  that takes values in  $TT\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , tangent to  $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ ;  $\bar{D}_*^\xi(\xi(t), V(t, \xi(t)))$  takes values also in  $TT\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Now the forward  $\bar{D}V(t, \xi(t))$  and the backward  $\bar{D}_*V(t, \xi(t))$  covariant mean derivatives of  $V$  along  $\xi(\cdot)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  at  $t$  are defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}V(t, \xi(t)) &= K \circ \bar{D}^\xi V(t, \xi(t)), \\ \bar{D}_*V(t, \xi(t)) &= K \circ \bar{D}_*^\xi V(t, \xi(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

On  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  the mean derivatives calculated by the above formulae may not be tangent to  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . That is why we postulate the following modification.

**Definition 12.** (i) *The forward mean derivative  $\tilde{D}\xi(t)$  of the process  $\xi(t)$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  at the moment  $t$  is an  $L^1$ -random variable of the form*

$$\tilde{D}\xi(t) = P \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{\xi(t + \Delta t) - \xi(t)}{\Delta t} \right). \quad (24)$$

(ii) *The backward mean derivative  $\tilde{D}_*\xi(t)$  of  $\xi(t)$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  at  $t$  is the  $L^1$ -random variable*

$$\tilde{D}_*\xi(t) = P \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E_t^\xi \left( \frac{\xi(t) - \xi(t - \Delta t)}{\Delta t} \right). \quad (25)$$

In the same manner we modify the definitions of  $D^y x(t)$  and  $D_*^y x(t)$  (see (16) and (17)).

Consequently, we introduce the mean derivatives  $\tilde{D}^\xi V(t, \xi(t)) = TP\bar{D}^\xi V(t, \xi(t))$  and  $\tilde{D}_*^\xi V(t, \xi(t)) = TP\bar{D}_*^\xi V(t, \xi(t))$ , respectively of the vector field  $V$  along  $\xi(t)$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . The covariant mean derivatives on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  are defined by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}V(t, \xi(t)) &= \tilde{K} \circ \tilde{D}^\xi V(t, \xi(t)) = P \circ \bar{D}V(t, \xi(t)), \\ \tilde{D}_*V(t, \xi(t)) &= \\ &= \tilde{K} \circ \tilde{D}_*^\xi V(t, \xi(t)) = P \circ \bar{D}_*V(t, \xi(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

### 3. Description of viscous hydrodynamics

For a point  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$  denote by  $\exp_m : T_m\mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^n$  the map that sends the vector  $X \in T_m\mathcal{T}^n$  into the point  $m + X$  in  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . The field of maps  $\exp$  at all points generates the map  $e\bar{x}p : T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  that sends the vector  $X \in T_e\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (i.e., a vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ ) into  $e + X \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  where  $e + X$  is the diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{T}^n$  of the form  $(e + X)(m) = m + X(m)$ .

Consider the composition  $e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . By the construction of  $\bar{A}_e$  for any  $X \in R^n$  we get  $e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e(X)(m) = m + X$ , i.e., the same vector  $X$  is added to any point  $m$ . Thus obviously  $e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e(X) \in \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and so  $e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ .

Introduce the process

$$\sigma W^*(t) = e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e(\sigma w^*(t)) \quad (27)$$

in  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  where  $w^*(t)$  is process (18) and  $\sigma > 0$  is a real constant. By the construction, for any  $\omega \in \Omega$  the corresponding sample trajectory  $\sigma W_\omega^*(t)$  is the diffeomorphism of the form  $\sigma W_\omega^*(t)(m) = m + \sigma w_\omega^*(t)$  so that the same sample trajectory  $\sigma w_\omega^*(t)$  of  $\sigma w^*(t)$  is added to each point  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$ . In particular, this means that

**Lemma 13.** (i)  $\sigma W^*(t)$  takes values in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . (ii) at any  $t$  specified  $\sigma W^*(t)$  may be considered as a random map  $\sigma W^*(t) : \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^n$  such that its differential  $d\sigma W^*(t) : T_m \mathcal{T}^n \rightarrow T_{\sigma W^*(t)m} \mathcal{T}^n$  is equal to  $I$  (identity operator); (iii)  $\sigma W^*(t)$  may be considered as a stochastic flow on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  governed by a certain stochastic differential equation with diffusion term of the form  $\sigma w(t)$ .

Specify a vector  $u_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Let  $g(t)$  be a solution of (6) with  $g(0) = e$  and  $\dot{g}(0) = u_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . By Theorem 1  $g(t)$  is unique and exists for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $u_0$  and it is a flow of diffuse matter on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  (see §1).

Consider the stochastic process

$$\eta(t) = \sigma W^*(t) \circ g(t) \quad (28)$$

on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Notice that it is well-posed for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  since  $g(t)$  exists for such  $t$  and  $\sigma W^*(t)$  exists for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  by the construction.

**Lemma 14.** The "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}_t^\eta$  of  $\eta(t)$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_t^{w^*}$ , the "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra of process  $w^*(t)$ .

**Proof.** By definition at any  $t$  the random variable  $\eta(t) = R_{g(t)} \sigma W(t)$  and so its "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N}_t^\eta$  is the same as for the process  $\sigma W(t)$ . On the other hand  $e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e : R^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  is a continuous map. Thus (see (27))  $\mathcal{N}_t^{w^*}$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_t^{w^*}$ . Q.E.D.

Notice that  $\mathcal{N}_t^{w^*}$  is a  $\sigma$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}_t^w$  by the construction of  $w^*(t)$  (see (18)).

**Lemma 15.**  $\bar{D}_* \eta(t) = Q_{\eta(t)} \dot{g}(t)$ .

**Proof.** Indeed,  $\bar{D}_* \eta(t) = TR_{g(t)} \bar{D}_* \sigma W^*(t) + TL_{\sigma W^*(t)} \bar{D}_* g(t) = \sigma TW^*(t) \circ \dot{g}(t) + \sigma \bar{D}_* W^*(t) \circ g(t)$ . Obviously  $D_* g(t) = \dot{g}(t)$  since  $g(t)$  is a deterministic process with  $C^1$ -trajectory. On the other hand,  $\bar{D}_* W^*(t) = T(e\bar{x}p \circ \bar{A}_e) \circ D_* w^*(t)$ . From Lemma 14 it follows that  $D_* w^*(t) = E_t^\eta D^w w^*(t)$  and  $D^w w^*(t)$  is equal to zero by Lemma 9. The assertion of Lemma follows from Lemma 13 and the properties of tangent maps. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 16.**

$$\bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta(t) = \bar{Z}(\bar{D}_* \eta(t)) + TQ_{\bar{D}_* \eta(t)} \bar{F}^l(t, \dot{g}(t)).$$

**Proof.** In analogy with the proof of Lemma 15 show that  $\bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta(t) = \sigma TTW^*(t) \circ \ddot{g}(t) + \sigma \bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* W^*(t) \circ g(t)$ . Since  $\sigma \bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* W^*(t) = 0$ , we get  $\bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta(t) = \sigma TTW^*(t) \circ \ddot{g}(t) = TQ_{\bar{D}_* \eta(t)} \ddot{g}(t)$ . Taking into account (8) and the fact that on the flat torus evidently  $TQ_X \mathcal{Z}(Y) = \mathcal{Z}(X)$  we obtain

the assertion of Lemma. Q.E.D.

**Corollary 17.**  $\bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta(t) = Q_{\eta(t)} \bar{F}(t, g(t))$ .

The Corollary follows from Lemma 16 and formula (23). Notice that the above relation is a stochastic analogue of the second Newton's law.

Now introduce the vector  $u(t) = ETR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \bar{D}_* \eta(t) \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . It is an analogue of  $v(t)$  in §1. Recall that  $u(t)$  is an  $H^s$  vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  that will be denoted by  $u(t, m)$ .

**Theorem 18.** If the vector field  $u(t, m)$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is  $C^1$  in  $t$  and  $C^2$  in  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$ , it satisfies the Burgers equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, m) + \langle u(t, m), \nabla \rangle u(t, m) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 u(t, m) = \\ = EF(t, m - \sigma w^*(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

with initial value  $u_0$ .

**Proof.** One can easily see from the construction that  $u(0) = \dot{g}(0) = u_0$ .

Recall that the vector fields  $\bar{Z}$  and  $\bar{F}^l$  are right-invariant. Taking into account formula (9) from [11] and the construction of  $\eta(t)$  we get  $TR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} Q_{\eta(t)} \bar{F}(t, g(t)) = Q_e \bar{F}(t, g(t) \circ \eta(t)^{-1}) = Q_e \bar{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1})$ . From this, from Remark 5 and Lemma 16 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_*^\eta TR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \bar{D}_* \eta(t) = \\ = \bar{Z}(TR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \bar{D}_* \eta(t)) + (Q_e \bar{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}))^l. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Introduce the process  $\eta_t(s) = R_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \eta(s)$ . Obviously  $\eta_t(t) = e$  and so the conditional expectation  $E_t^{\eta_t}$  with respect to its "now"  $\sigma$ -algebra at  $t$  is the unconditional expectation  $E$ . Thus by Remark 5  $\bar{D}_* \eta_t(t) = E(TR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \bar{D}_* \eta(t)) = u(t)$ . From the construction of vector field  $\bar{Z}$  it obviously follows that  $E(\bar{Z}(TR_{\eta(t)}^{-1} \bar{D}_* \eta(t))) = \bar{Z}(u(t))$ . Then from (30) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta_t(t) = \\ = \bar{Z}(u(t)) + (EQ_e \bar{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}))^l. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Consider the right-invariant vector field  $\bar{u}(t)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  generated by  $u(t) \in T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Notice that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t)$  is a vertical vector at  $T_{e, u(t)} \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  that is the difference between  $\bar{D}_* \bar{D}_* \eta_t(t)$  and its component tangent to  $\bar{u}(t)$ . In order to find this difference recall that by usual machinery the vector  $\bar{Z}(u(t))$  is described as

$$\bar{Z}(u(t)) = \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E\left(\frac{u(t) - \bar{u}(t, \eta_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}\right)$$

$$-(K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E(\frac{u(t) - \bar{u}(t, \eta_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}))^l$$

where the former vector in the right-hand side is tangent to  $\bar{u}(t)$  and the latter is vertical. Then, taking into account (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = & -(K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E(\frac{u(t) - \bar{u}(t, \eta_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}))^l + \\ & + (EQ_e \bar{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}))^l. \end{aligned}$$

All vectors in the last expression are vertical, i.e., tangent to the linear space  $T_e \mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . As usual for the theory of differential equations in linear space we can consider the vectors, tangent to linear space, as belonging to it. Then the last expression takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = & -K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E(\frac{u(t) - \bar{u}(t, \eta_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}) + \\ & + EQ_e \bar{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

It is easy to see that the process  $\eta_t(s)$  on  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  can be considered as a stochastic flow on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  whose backward mean derivative at  $s = t$  is  $u(t, m)$  and the diffusion term is  $\sigma w(t)$ . So we can differentiate  $u(t, m)$  along this process by formula (20) and apply formula (22) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E(\frac{u(t) - \bar{u}(t, \eta_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t})(m) = \\ = -(\langle u(t, m), \nabla \rangle u(t, m) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 u(t, m)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (32) transforms into (29). Q.E.D.

Now let us turn to stochastic perturbations of solutions of (7). Using analogous scheme of arguments we shall obtain solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation. The only serious modification involves the projector  $P$  into various formulae in order not to leave the manifold  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  and so we describe this material more briefly.

Specify a vector  $U_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a solution of (7) with  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = U_0 \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . By Theorem 1  $\gamma(t)$  is unique and exists for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $U_0$  and it is a flow of perfect incompressible on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  (see §1).

Consider the stochastic process

$$\xi(t) = \sigma W^*(t) \circ \gamma(t) \quad (33)$$

on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (recall that  $W^*(t)$  in fact takes values in  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ , see above). Notice that it is well-posed for

$t \in [0, \varepsilon)$  since  $\gamma(t)$  exists for such  $t$  and  $\sigma W^*(t)$  exists for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  by the construction. Lemma 14 remains true for  $\xi(t)$ . The analogues of Lemmas 15 and 16 and of Corollary 17 take the form:

**Lemma 19.**  $\tilde{D}_* \xi(t) = PQ_{\xi(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t)$ .

**Lemma 20.**

$$\tilde{D}_* \tilde{D}_* \xi(t) = \mathcal{S}(\tilde{D}_* \xi(t)) + (PQ_{\tilde{D}_* \xi(t)} \tilde{F}(t, \dot{\gamma}(t)))^l.$$

**Corollary 21.**  $\tilde{D}_* \tilde{D}_* \xi(t) = PQ_{\xi(t)} \tilde{F}(t, \gamma(t))$ .

Corollary 21 means that  $\xi(t)$  satisfies a stochastic analogue of the second Newton's law on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  (cf. Corollary 17).

Now introduce the vector  $U(t) = ETR_{\xi(t)}^{-1} \tilde{D}_* \xi(t) \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . It is an analogue of  $\kappa(t)$  in §1. Recall that  $U(t)$  is an  $H^s$  divergence-free vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  that will be denoted by  $U(t, m)$ .

**Theorem 22.** *If the vector field  $U(t, m)$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is  $C^1$  in  $t$  and  $C^2$  in  $m \in \mathcal{T}^n$ , it satisfies the Navier-Stokes equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, m) + \langle U(t, m), \nabla \rangle & > U(t, m) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 U(t, m) - \text{grad} p = \\ & = EPF(t, m - \sigma w^*(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

with initial value  $U_0$ .

**Proof.** The scheme of arguments here is quite analogous to the proof of Theorem 18 and so we clarify only the points where the main modifications arise.

One can easily see from the construction that  $U(0) = \dot{\gamma}(0) = U_0$ .

The analogue of (30) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_*^\xi TR_{\xi(t)}^{-1} \tilde{D}_* \xi(t) = \mathcal{S}(TR_{\xi(t)}^{-1} \tilde{D}_* \xi(t)) + \\ + (PQ_e \tilde{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}))^l. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Introduce  $\xi_t(s) = R_{\xi(t)}^{-1} \xi(s)$ , the analogue of  $\eta_t(s)$  having the same properties and in particular satisfying the following analogue of (31):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_* \tilde{D}_* \xi_t(t) = \\ = \mathcal{S}(U(t)) + (EPQ_e \tilde{F}(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}))^l. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

As well as above  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t)$  is a vertical vector at  $T_{(e, U(t))} \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  that is the difference between  $\tilde{D}_* \tilde{D}_* \xi_t(t)$  and its component tangent to  $\bar{U}(t)$ , the

right-invariant vector field on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  generated by  $U(t) \in T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ . Here this difference can be found from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(U(t)) &= \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E\left(\frac{U(t) - \bar{U}(t, \tilde{\xi}_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}\right) \\ &\quad - P \circ K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E\left(\frac{U(t) - \bar{U}(t, \tilde{\xi}_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(recall that  $P \circ K = \tilde{K}$ ) where the former vector in the right-hand side is tangent to  $\bar{U}(t)$  and the latter is vertical. Then, we obtain from (36)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t) &= -P \circ K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E\left(\frac{U(t) - \bar{U}(t, \tilde{\xi}_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}\right) + \\ &\quad + EPF(t, \sigma W^*(t)^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

This is the analogue of (32), i.e., it is written in the linear space  $T_e \mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$ .

The process  $\xi_t(s)$  on  $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(\mathcal{T}^n)$  can be considered as a stochastic flow on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  whose backward mean derivative at  $s = t$  is  $U(t, m)$ . In order to differentiate  $U$  along  $\xi_t(s)$  by formula (25) we may differentiate  $U(t, m)$  along this flow by (20) and apply  $P$  to the result obtained by (22). Taking into account (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -P \circ K \circ \lim_{\Delta t \downarrow 0} E\left(\frac{U(t) - \bar{U}(t, \tilde{\xi}_t(t - \Delta t))}{\Delta t}\right) &= \\ -P(\langle U(t, m), \nabla \rangle U(t, m) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 U(t, m)) &= \\ -(\langle U(t, m), \nabla \rangle U(t, m) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \nabla^2 U(t, m) - \text{grad } p). \end{aligned}$$

so that (37) transforms into (34). Q.E.D.

Notice that the processes  $\eta(t)$  and  $\xi(t)$  are constructed for  $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$  from existing solutions of (6) and (7), respectively, for  $F(t, m)$  belonging to  $H^{s+1}$  at any  $t$  and continuous in  $t$  with respect to  $H^s$  topology. Thus the vector fields  $u(t, m)$  and  $U(t)$  from Theorems 18 and 22, respectively, do exist but may not be smooth enough to satisfy equations (29) and (34), respectively, in classical sense.

**Definition 23.** If the vector field  $u(t, m)$  or  $U(t, m)$  on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  is not smooth enough to satisfy (29) or (34), respectively, in classical sense, we say that it is a generalized solution of the corresponding equation.

If  $s > \frac{n}{2} + 2$ , the generalized solutions are classical ones since they have enough classical derivatives. Their flows describe the motion of corresponding viscous fluids on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ . These flows may be called "expectations" of the stochastic processes  $\eta(t)$  and  $\xi(t)$ , respectively, lying under the motion of viscous fluids. It should be pointed out that the processes and their "expectations" (flows of the generalized solutions) do exist for  $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ .

Let us summarize what we can say about (generalized) solutions of Burgers and Navier-Stokes equations in the case under consideration. Denote  $EF(t, m - \sigma w^*(t))$  by  $\Phi(t, m)$ . Notice that if the force  $F$  does not depend on  $m$ ,  $\Phi(t) = F(t)$ . In particular,  $\Phi = 0$  if  $F = 0$ .

#### A. Navier-Stokes Equation.

1. Let  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 1$ ,  $U_0$  be an  $H^s$  divergence free vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  be a real number and  $F(t, m)$  be a divergence-free vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  belonging to  $H^{s+1}$  at any  $t$  and continuous in  $t$  with respect to topology  $H^s$ . Then there exists a generalized solution  $U(t)$  of Navier-Stokes equation with viscosity  $\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$  and external force  $P\Phi(t, m)$ , having initial value  $U_0$  and well-posed on the same time interval  $[0, \varepsilon)$  as the solution  $\kappa(t)$ ,  $\kappa(0) = U_0$  of Euler equation with force  $F(t, m)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $U_0$ .

2.  $U(t)$  tends to the solution  $\kappa(t)$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , (i.e., the viscosity coefficient tends to zero).

3. For  $n = 2$  the generalized solution of Navier-Stokes equation exists for all  $t \in [0, +\infty)$  since solutions of Euler equation exist for those  $t$  (see §1).

4. For  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 2$  the above-mentioned generalized solution of Navier-Stokes equation is a classical solution.

#### B. Burgers equation.

5. Let  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 1$ ,  $u_0$  be an  $H^s$  vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  be a real number and  $F(t, m)$  be a vector field on  $\mathcal{T}^n$  belonging to  $H^{s+1}$  at any  $t$  and continuous in  $t$  with respect to topology  $H^s$ . Then there exists a generalized solution  $u(t)$  of Burgers equation with viscosity  $\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$  and external force  $\Phi(t, m)$ , having initial value  $u_0$  and well posed on the same time interval  $[0, \varepsilon)$  as the solution  $v(t)$ ,  $v(0) = u_0$  of diffuse matter equation (6) with external force  $F(t, m)$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends on  $u_0$ .

6.  $u(t)$  tends to  $v(t)$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , (i.e., the viscosity coefficient tends to zero).

7. For  $s > \frac{1}{2}n + 2$  the above-mentioned generalized solution of Burgers equation is a classical solution.



## References

1. Arnol'd V. Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. // *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 1966 T. 16, N 1, 319—361.
2. Belopolskaya Ya. I. Probabilistic representation of solutions to boundary value problems for hydrodynamic equations. // *Proceedings of POMI*, 1997 249, 71—102.
3. Belopolskaya Ya. I., Gliklikh Yu. E. Diffusion processes on groups of diffeomorphisms and hydrodynamics of incompressible fluid. // *Transactions of RANS, ser. MMMIC*, 1999 T. 3, N 2. — C. 27—35.
4. Belopolskaya Ya. I., Gliklikh Yu. E. Stochastic processes on groups of diffeomorphisms and description of viscous hydrodynamics. Warwick Preprint: 19/2000 (August 2000). — 23 p.
5. Ebin D. G. and Marsden J. Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid // *Annals of Math.*, 1970 V. 92, N 1, 102—163.
6. Gliklikh Yu. E. Lagrangian approach to hydrodynamics of viscous incompressible fluid. // *Russian Math. Surveys*, 1990 T. 45, N 6, 127—128.
7. Gliklikh Yu. E. Stochastic analysis, groups of diffeomorphisms and Lagrangian description of viscous incompressible fluid. // *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1992, vol. 1520. — P. 1—18
8. Gliklikh Yu. E. A new version of lagrangian approach to hydrodynamics of viscous incompressible fluid. // *Mathem. Notices*, 1994 T. 55, N 4, 15—24.
9. Gliklikh Yu. E. Ordinary and Stochastic Differential Geometry as a Tool for Mathematical Physics. — Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1996.
10. Gliklikh Yu. E. Global Analysis in Mathematical Physics. Geometric and Stochastic Methods. — N.Y.: Springer-Verlag, 1997.
11. Gliklikh Yu. E. Parallel displacement and geodesics on a flat torus group of diffeomorphisms. // *Topological methods of nonlinear analysis. Voronezh, VSU*, 2000. — 31—40.
12. Gliklikh Yu. E., Obukhovskii V. V. Tubular neighbourhoods of Hilbert manifolds and differential equations of Caratheodory type on groups of diffeomorphisms. // *New Approaches in Nonlinear Analysis. Palm Harbor, FL: Hadronic Press*, 1999. — P. 109—123
13. Jeulin T. et Yor M. Filtration des points Browniens et équations différentielles stochastiques linéaires // *Seminaire de Probabilités XXIV, Lect. Notes in Math.* 1990. Vol. 1426. — P. 227—265
14. Korolyuk V. S., Portenko N. I., Skorokhod A. V. et al. Handbook of Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics. Moscow: Nauka, 1985.
15. Ladyzhenskaya O. A. The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow. Gordon and Breach, New York: 1969.
16. Nelson, E. Derivation of the Schrödinger equation from Newtonian mechanics. // *Phys. Reviews* (1966) 150 (4), 1079—1085
17. Nelson, E. Dynamical theory of Brownian motion. - Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1967
18. Nelson E. Quantum Fluctuations. — Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1985.
19. Parthasarathy K. R. Introduction to probability and measure. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1978
20. Yasue K. A variational principle for the Navier-Stokes equation. // *Journ. Funct. Anal.* 51 (1983), 133—141.